



# Stormwater Working Group

---

**Date:** December 11, 2008

**Time:** 7:00 PM to 9:30 PM

**Location:** City Hall Room 2000

**Purpose of the Meeting:** Convene the fourth meeting of the Stormwater Working Group (SWG) to continue discussions of funding options available to the City and develop the preliminary findings of the SWG.

## Agenda

- A. Overview of agenda and feedback on interactions 7:00 PM – 7:15 PM
- Questions/feedback from the SWG Tour
  - Feedback received from stakeholders on any stormwater issues
- B. Recap of the operating/maintenance and CIP needs, regulatory drivers and funding options 7:15 PM – 7:20 PM
- C. Discussion of findings using questions from previous meeting 7:20 PM – 8:40 PM
- Review of SWG Charge
  - Question 1 (see page 2) – discussion
  - Question 2 (see page 2) – discussion
  - Question 3 (see page 2) – discussion
  - Break
- D. Review and discussion of all questions 8:40 PM – 9:20 PM
- Preliminary findings summary
  - Is there anything obvious that's missing, upon further reflection?
  - What are the common themes that SWG members see across each question?
  - Are there any other questions that the SWG needs to address?
- E. Wrap-up and next steps 9:20 PM – 9:30 PM
- Staff and consultants will prepare draft preliminary findings using information from each group and sent to SWG for review
  - Comments and questions via email between now and January 8 meeting
  - January 8 meeting to discuss and finalize preliminary findings to be sent to City Manager

---

## Discussion Questions to Help Formulate the Preliminary Findings:

1. During the first two meetings, City staff presented information that showed that the stormwater needs in the City were driven by:
  - Regulatory requirements
  - Maintenance needs of aging infrastructure
  - Health, safety, and environmental considerations

*Do you think that there is the need for a reliable funding source to address the City's stormwater needs?*

*Describe your view of the City's stormwater needs*

2. The funding options presented offer three different ways to distribute the responsibility for stormwater costs:
  - Assessed value distribution = Direct Taxation
  - Self-Imposed distribution (typically based on assessed value) = Watershed Improvement Districts
  - Stormwater impact distribution (typically based on impervious area of each property) = Storm Water Utility

*What are the benefits and drawbacks of distributing the costs in these ways, considering the needs and interests of those you represent?*

3. The funding options presented may have different impacts on individuals and businesses in the community. Potential impacts could include:
  - Environmental
  - Economic
  - Other factors

*Describe one potential positive (benefit) or negative (drawback) impact for each funding option, considering how it might affect those you represent.*