


**Project Information**

<b>Project Name:</b>	LAP/#SMART20: ACCESS IMPROV TO THE LANDMARK TRANSIT HUB	<b>Federal Project#:</b>	RSTP-5B01(603)
<b>Project Number:</b>	U000-100-887, C501, P101, R201	<b>Project Type:</b>	Construction
<b>UPC:</b>	115530	<b>Charge Number:</b>	UPC 115530 Activity 600 series
<b>Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):</b>			
<b>Route Number:</b>	9999	<b>Route Type:</b>	Urban
<b>Project Limit--From:</b>	Various Locations	<b>To:</b>	Various Locations
<b>IPM Project Description:</b>	#SMART20 - ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS TO THE LANDMARK TRANSIT HUB		
<b>Additional Project Description:</b>	This project constructs pedestrian access infrastructure improvements in the vicinity of the redeveloping Landmark Mall site. Specific improvements include the construction of approximately 900 linear feet of 7-foot-wide sidewalk on the south side of Duke Street between Walker Lane and Van Dorn Street, of approximately 2,300 linear feet of 7-foot-wide sidewalk on the east side of North Van Dorn Street between Duke Street Ramp and Holmes Run Parkway, and of approximately 1,200 linear feet of 12-foot-wide multi-use trail along the west side of Van Dorn Street North of Road 7/Verve Street to just south of the intersection with Holmes Run Parkway.		
<b>Purpose And Need:</b>	The purpose of this project is to improve pedestrian infrastructure.		
<b>District:</b>	<b>City/County:</b>	<b>Residency:</b>	
Northern Virginia	Alexandria	Fairfax	

**The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:**

23 CFR 771.117

**Description of PCE Category:**

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

**UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:**

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

**Finding:** *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

**Notes:**

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

**IMPACTS:**

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

**Finding:** *Review of project plans.*

**Notes:** *Based on the preliminary Right of Way (RW) Data Sheet in the paans dated December 2025, the proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent RW.*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

**Finding:** *Review of project plans.*

**Notes:**

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

**Finding:** *Review of project plans indicates there is no capacity expansion*

**Notes:** *The project scope dated 05/08/26 does not include the addition of through lanes.*

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

**Finding:** *There will be no major traffic disruptions based on the project scope.*

**Notes:**

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** *02/17/2026*

**Notes:** *A determination that the project will have no effect on historic properties was made in accordance with Stipulation 2 of the 2016 Programmatic Agreement for Transportation Undertakings in Virginia.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** *02/17/2026*

**Notes:** *Based on a CEDAR eMAPS search on 01/15/26, there are no Section 4(f) properties in the project area. A determination that the project will have no effect on historic properties was made in accordance with Stipulation 2 of the 2016 Programmatic Agreement for Transportation Undertakings in Virginia.*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

**Finding:** *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

**Notes:**

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

**Finding:** *The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** 04/09/2026

**Notes:**

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

**Finding:** *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

**Notes:**

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

**Finding:** *Based on review of FEMA floodplain mapping, the project is not within a floodplain.*

**Notes:**

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

**Finding:** *(VDOT ONLY) VDOT noise manual.*

**Notes:**

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

**Finding:** *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

**Date of**

**Finding:** 04/03/2026

**Notes:** *Based on the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) Not Likely to Adversely Affect (NLAA) Concurrence verification letter, there is a NLAA determination on the federally endangered Northern Long-eared Bat and the federally proposed endangered Tricolored Bat. Based on the List of threatened and endangered (T&E) species letter the proposed action does not overlap the critical habitat of the Monarch Butterfly.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

**Finding:** *(VDOT ONLY) Hazardous Materials Summary Report.*

**Notes:**

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

**Finding:** *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

**Notes:** *The project is included in STIP Grouping for Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document.*

19. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Muse, John C.

05/08/2026

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

Date

