NOTE TAKER
Stefan Caine

Agenda

I Call Meeting to Order

II Overview of the Process
Renewal projects were recently monitored using the Performance & Compliance Scorecard, producing scores that inform an objective project prioritization list created by the Selection Committee. An outline of this process along with the Scorecard were approved by the CoC Governing Board, announced to renewal grantees and the community, and posted to the Partnership’s webpage. Final Performance & Compliance Scores, as well as final rankings will also be posted to the CoC’s webpage.

In their meeting on 8/7 the ranking committee selected the following proposals to move forward with the application process:

- HUD-DV Bonus ($50,000) – Carpenter’s Shelter & ALIVE! House Joint TH-RRH
- HUD-CoC Bonus ($42,152) – New Hope Housing PSH
- PSH Reallocation ($100,000) – New Hope Housing PSH
- RRH Reallocation ($166,457) – New Hope Housing & Carpenter’s Shelter RRH

Reasons for their selection were centered around community need and past program performance. Details on that selection are further outlined in the Selection Committee Meeting Minutes from 8/7/2019.

The Committee’s next responsibility is to utilize Performance and Compliance Scorecards and community need data outlined in the Gaps & Needs Recommendation Report to rank all HUD programs in order of our community priority.

III New and Renewal Project Ranking

Notabene PSH Program

- The Committee raised concern as to why the Notabene program appeared to be regressing in Compliance & Performance score over the past three years;
- It was noted by the CoC Lead Administrator that the grantee had not provided adequate documentation of all funds being drawn in the last completed grant year;
- The lack of movement on the “family condos” side appears to increasingly limit the overall program’s success as the same clients miss the same metrics each year while increasing their length of stay;
- While the program may be underperforming, the Selection Committee recognizes what a crucial asset the single units are for the community and that there is still a need to serve some extremely high-barrier families in the condos;
The Selection Committee recommends a targeted process for moving families that are capable of moving from Notabene Condos and into other subsidized housing without supports, so the resource can be used more effectively by the CoC;

Tier 1
- After Notabene discussions, the Selection Committee elected to start with the first priority and work down towards the threshold of Tier 2, then center the conversation around which program(s) to risk;
- As the highest scoring housing program renewal in Compliance and Performance Monitoring, and as the greatest defined community need the Gaps & Needs Recommendation Report, the Alexandria Housing First PSH the clear nominee for the top spot;
- The second highest scoring PSH program, Sheltered Homes PSH, was awarded 2nd priority, again sticking with the trend to award high performers that targeted services towards chronically homeless singles;
- Acknowledging the fact that reallocated funds represent existing resources in the City, and that other federal dollars are being diverted away from families, the Family RRH Reallocation was ranked 3rd to ensure most of those resources are maintained;
- Likewise, the PSH reallocation was ranked 4th to keep those dollars in the community, and to carry out recommendations to fill the community need for Chronically Homeless housing options;
- HMIS was ranked 5th to not risk the funding in Tier 2; the program was the highest scoring in Performance Monitoring but doesn’t represent a direct client service, so it is typically ranked below housing programs;
- There was less anxiety than in year’s past however, about putting HMIS above any housing program, and this was credited to the CoC’s ability to leverage HMIS data in policy changes and decision making much more this year than previously;
- At this juncture it was clear that the CoC was nearing the threshold for Tier one;

Tier 2
- Considering how HUD awards and ranks the DV Bonus proposal, there is no incentive for the CoC to rank it above other project proposals, even traditional bonus; the Selection Committee was confident in the CoC’s ability to put forward DV proposal that could be awarded on its on merit, so the DV Bonus was placed 8th, at the bottom of the FY19 Rankings;
- Continuing with their established practice to fund existing programs first, the Selection Committee then chose to rank the HUD-CoC Bonus 7th, second to last in the Ranking, as does not yet represent real clients;
- Notabene was then made to straddle the tiers in the 6th position, with $581 of its $151,007 grant being risked in Tier 2; the Selection Committee felt this was fair given the program’s poor Performance & Compliance scores over the past several years, questions about expenditures, and lack of permanent exits;
- As it appears these issues are primarily contained to the “Family Condos” of the Notabene program, the Selection Committee would recommend any dollars risked in Tier two be associated with those units rather than the single bedrooms;
- The Selection Committee also recommends further inquiry into the lack of movement in Notabene Family Condos, and for some process or policy be explored to promote it;

Final Ranking
- Tier 1:
  - New Hope Housing – Alexandria Housing First
  - Sheltered Homes of Alexandria – SHA PSH
  - New Hope Housing – RRH Reallocation
  - New Hope Housing – PSH Reallocation
  - OCS – HMIS Management Analyst
  - Alexandria CSB - Notabene
- Tier 2
- Alexandria CSB – Remainder of Notabene
- New Hope Housing – HUD-CoC Bonus
- Carpenter’s Shelter – DV Bonus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION ITEMS</th>
<th>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publish Final M&amp;E Scores</td>
<td>CoC Lead Administrator</td>
<td>8/20/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify Project of Inclusion in CoC Application</td>
<td>CoC Lead Administrator</td>
<td>8/20/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve Final Ranks</td>
<td>PPEH Governing Board</td>
<td>9/5/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish Project Priority Listing</td>
<td>CoC Lead Administrator</td>
<td>9/9/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly System Performance Monitoring</td>
<td>Data Committee, Gaps &amp; Needs Committee</td>
<td>September 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10:50am adjourned.