Ramsey Homes Redevelopment

Progress Report on City – ARHA Joint Work Plan

Redevelopment Work Group
May 19, 2016
Refine Design & Layout of Proposal and Alternate

- Number of units, unit mix, and layout
- Use for preserved building
- Enhancement of public benefits
- Garage layout and entry modifications
- Two Concepts developed for comparison
Proposed Concept: Summary

- 53 Affordable Dwelling Units
- Unit Mix:
  - 6 One-Bedroom Units
  - 43 Two-Bedroom Units
  - 4 Three-Bedroom Units
- 47,737 net square feet
- Three stories, approximately 39’
- Open Space:
  - On-Site Ground-level open space (16%)
  - Rooftop amenity space (24%)
- Underground parking – 29 spaces
Proposed Concept: Floor Plans
Proposed Concept: Floor Plans
Proposed Concept: Rear Elevation – South Building

*Illustrative only: architecture will be developed once a preferred concept is selected
Proposed Concept: Perspectives

*Illustrative only: architecture will be developed once a preferred concept is selected
Alternate Concept: Summary

- 52 Affordable Dwelling Units – New Building
- 2 to 4 Dwelling Units – One Renovated Building

- Unit Mix – New Building:
  - 10 One-Bedroom Units
  - 36 Two-Bedroom Units
  - 6 Three-Bedroom Units

- 46,317 net square feet

- Four stories, approximately 44’, 20’ side setback/shoulders

- Open Space:
  - On-Site Ground-level open space (29%)
  - Increased setback from Pendleton Street

- Underground parking – 31 spaces
Alternate Concept: Floor Plans
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Alternate Concept: Front Elevation – New Building

*Illustrative only: architecture will be developed once a preferred concept is selected
Alternate Concept: Perspectives

*Illustrative only: architecture will be developed once a preferred concept is selected.
Alternate Concept: Perspectives

*Illustrative only: architecture will be developed once a preferred concept is selected*
Alternate Concept: Residential and Non-Residential Uses Considered

- **Residential Use**
  - 4-unit configuration
    - Two-bedroom, 1½ bath units, similar to existing
  - 2-unit configuration
    - Three-bedroom, 2½ bath units

- **Non-Residential Use**
  - ARHA ancillary facility
  - Museum extension
Alternate Concept: Residential vs. Non-Residential Uses

- Residential Use
  - Potentially helps meet Section 106 mitigation goal
  - ARHA potentially retains ownership & operation of whole site
  - Strategic opportunity to create two large replacement units for future redevelopment at a cost comparable to Miller Homes (James Bland) replacement units*
  - Braddock Fund provides a potential available source

- Non-Residential Use
  - Application is subject to “greater scrutiny” by HUD

*Please note that the rehab costs referenced did not take into account soft costs provided by ARHA. Per guidance from the Work Group, staff will jointly study the allocation of all costs among the concepts proposed and these will be reported at the 5/26 Community Meeting.
Open Space – Further Considerations

• 40% Open Space Required

• ARHA Proposed Concept:
  • 16% On-Site Ground-level open space
  • 24% Rooftop amenity space

• Alternate Concept:
  • 29% On-Site Ground-level open space
  • With Alley end conversion, no need for rooftop open space (operational and cost savings)
  • Increased setback from Pendleton Street

• Alley end conversions to open space
  • Pendleton side: 1,000 sf or 3.5%
  • Wythe side: 1,000 sf or 3.5%
  • Combined available Ground-level open space: 7%
# Summary Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52 + 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>47,737</td>
<td>46,317*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>39’</td>
<td>44’ (partial 4th floor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>16% Ground 24% Rooftop</td>
<td>29% Ground No rooftop with alley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*plus preserved building
Additional Progress

• $17,000 spent for Consultant work through April 30

• Valuation / Appraisal

• Section 106 Process
  • Stakeholders meeting scheduled June 6

• Garage Entry
  • Both schemes show improved entry
  • Review with truck movements ongoing

• Third Party Tax Credit and Financial Feasibility study

• Determination of likely City subsidy (loan) amount based on current VHDA Qualified Allocation Plan (revisions may be needed when QAP changes released)
Next Steps

• Public Meeting – May 26th
  – Presentation and discussion of Proposed and Alternate Concepts
  – Public feedback

• Section 106 Community Update – June 6th

• Redevelopment Work Group – June 9th
  – Presentation and discussion of revised Proposed and Alternate Concepts for recommendation of preferred concept

• City Council Update – June 28th
  – Presentation of Community Feedback, revised Proposed and Alternate Concepts, and staff recommendation regarding preferred concept