ADVISORY GROUP & COMMUNITY FEEDBACK
Policy Discussion Based on Community Feedback on Working Draft

AQ Policy discussion
SR. Living Facility
- fits well in entity, transit. Good to have flexibility.
- best to build in flexibility, for all sensible uses to be allowed.
- added to list of possible uses - not nec. mandated.

Bike lanes on N-Sp (Oakville) St.
- not necessarily to be mandated
- what does it connect to?
- can currently ride on sidewalk
- would be similar to conditions on Del Ray Sts. (no bike lanes)
- would have to balance loss of parking + density
- lack of connectivity to DR

Ruby Tucker Park timing
- could ped crossing be delayed
  so park could be built sooner
- or make a decision one or other in 6-10yr timeframe
ADVISORY GROUP & COMMUNITY FEEDBACK
Revised Vision Plan and Design Guidelines

Plan comments
p14 - concern re shared pkg - nd to be a stronger statement of part.
(haven’t been done well to date)
(p. 20)
p15 - 10 maker space uses.
p16 - group homes - allow + incentivize
p20 - update chart re pedx + RT park.
p37 - clarification on public +
private in R.O.W (lack of
consistency throughout doc).
A) streets + sidewalks are all to
be dedicated as public.
» Add text about streets + sidewalk R.O.W.

P61 - bikeshare location
check stewart/MJPark - correct.
P68 5.11 - 15' may be too much -
center about transition
when adjacent to home etc.
(Revise Lang.)
P85 5-106 - light shielded to
avoid spillover to park.
P84 - add public art.
universal design - not in memo
P85 - go to the stds. consist w/infill.
P99 7.26 - add LED sign.
7.28 - allow signs to be on
tires into the night.

P99 7.16 - clarify to include all
confirm wayfinding is
included.
7.31 "high intensity lights such as " and LED
General - High Qual. Materials -
LEED - part of DSUP
-lighting - down directed - avoid
spillover
Appendix - anticipated # of res.
ADVISORY GROUP & COMMUNITY FEEDBACK
Proposed Heights for Fannon Street/Route 1 Parcel

Fannon/ Rte 1 Heights
- Consider 75 at corner rather than 85
- Concern about encroachment into the 45 band
- Like up/down of taller/lower heights
- Provide 2 options for pub. review further comment
- Does the new site A1’s impact Calculus on transit/transp.
  and other density related questions. What density/site data, etc?

Public @’s.
- What is the allowed ht in PY
- What is the built in PY - add to final document.

Items that staff will bring back for further confirmation:
A Building height on Southern triangle
B Building massing
Agreement from AG on:
1. Ruby Tucker Park timing w/PA
2. Add flexibility for SE living
3. Bike lanes on NS/Oakville

Next mtg: Final review of remaining items A-B & Endorsement
of Plan.
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