**LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT**

Community Comments/Questions

as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

**Community Meeting Topics:**

Jan. 4: General Information

Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview

Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space

March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad

April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities

May 13th: Project Overview

May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

**Themes:**

1. Housing (affordable, senior, types)
2. Transit
3. Traffic/Access/Road Improvements
4. Pedestrian/Bike
5. Parking
6. Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
7. Open Space/Parks
8. Sustainability
9. Hospital
10. Financial
11. Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
12. Art and Culture
13. Schools
14. Safety
15. Equitable work opportunities
16. Architecture/Building Heights/Design
17. Redevelopment Process
18. Land Use
19. Housing (affordable, senior, types)
20. Related to a different area/project

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will this project bring new residential properties that will target only lower income families? Also, will current residential (apartment, condo/townhome) properties be designated as affordable housing properties? (Stevenson Street, Edsall Road, Duke Street, Van Dorn, etc)</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will traffic pattern be changing around the area?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will there be safe pedestrian access to the campus from the surrounding neighborhoods? It is currently difficult to access, requiring dangerous crossing of Duke or Van adorn, and walking through parking lots one you get across</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will there be enough parking? Will the parking be free? If not, will the city own/run the parking so it is affordable? Will the garage be automated since traffic in/out of the site will be heavy? 'Green'ed's go to open spaces and red to occupied spaces?</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>What about the transit hub traffic and homeless activity from access to riding Metrobus/DASH?</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>What improvements will be made to the Duke Street/395 interchange which is one of the worst looking interchanges along 395?</td>
<td>Outside this Rezoning Process Scope</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>With a hospital and emergency vehicles being moved here, I am concerned about not only the car traffic, but also the general noise that will come as a result. What is being put in place to address this?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will the city be making any road improvements to the surrounding streets making cycling easier, complimenting the mixed-transit plan for the site itself? Thanks! (Apologies if this addressed later in the presentation.)</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Traffic will be a nightmare.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Why no direct access to the site from I395?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Why did Alexandria demo the eastbound I395 exit ramp and replace it with a single ramp?</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Why does a hospital need that much space?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>How many entrances are there? They appear to have added extra exits but no new entrances.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will there be enough parking? Will the parking be free? If not, will the city own/run the parking so it is affordable? Will the garage be automated since traffic in/out of the site will be heavy?</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Why is there no direct access to the site from I395? What happens when traffic is backed up all the way onto the single ramp off I395? How do EMS ambulances reach the site?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will there be &quot;direct access&quot; to/from the Landmark site from 395 as to reduce vehicle traffic from non-West End residents?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Why don't the plan maps show the location of the transit hub? Will the city offer bus transit from the site to the pentagon? So metro can be accessed?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>The plan calls for about 10% open spaces. How was this percentage determined? Is it a fixed design parameter or can it be increased?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2019</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>What is the anticipated increase at both the current (Seminary Road) and new sites (Landmark) in number of residents and eventual students that could be enrolled in ACPS?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Information*
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*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)  6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
2 - Transit  7 - Open Space/ Parks
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road improvements  8 - Sustainability
4 - Pedestrian/Bike  9 - Hospital
5 - Parking  10 - Financial

### Community Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Has serious consideration been given to building an ACPS school at either the Seminary Road or Landmark sites? If not, why?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Is there a plan for an ACPS school or facility to built on the current hospital campus? Or plans to use the current hospital facility to support the TCW’s Health Science program?</td>
<td>Addressed with Seminary Site Process</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will a school be included on the Landmark or Seminary site?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Given that many city schools are overcrowded and/or using temporary classrooms (elementary and high school), and given that there are multiple proposals to add more housing units in the West End, why is space for a new school not part of the redevelopment plan?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Shouldn’t space be reserved for a new Elementary School or High School?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>How many school age children are anticipated from the 2500 housing units? Is land set aside for at least an elementary school?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | The city says it will borrow $130 million for the project and expects $788 million in new tax revenue over a 30 year period. I had several related questions:
1) Why does the city anticipate so much revenue when the land for the hospital will be leased to Inova for one dollar and Inova is a tax exempt entity?
2) What specific commercial activities will take place on other portions of the land which will generate so much revenue?
3) Does the city plan to have an auditor or outside expert review the $788 million estimate for plausibility and potential risks?
4) Which specific capital projects in other parts of the city will be delayed to accommodate the planned bonds for Landmark? | Addressed in FAQ                  | 1/4/2021 10                                                 |               |                 |

27 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | Will the medical campus have a new name or remain the same? My concern is how a relocated hospital will affect residential property values, if it’s basically a large trauma center/ urgent care and not a “cutting edge, innovative research center” | Part of completed or future planning process(es) | 1/4/2021 9 1 |               |                 |

28 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | What steps are being taken to ensure that the construction project does not include worker exploitation, wage theft and labor trafficking, as is common on area jobsites. Will the city, the developer and Inova Health System require prevailing wages, local hiring, and opportunities for minorities, women, veterans and returning citizens to participate in union apprenticeship programs? | Addressed in FAQ                  | 1/4/2021 15                                                 |               |                 |

29 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | How will the developers address the climate crisis? Will they build net zero carbon buildings? Will they investigate the use of one or more Microgrids to support resiliency of the hospital and community? Will the developer use only electricity and no natural gas in all the buildings of the development? | Addressed in FAQ                  | 4/28/2021 8                                                 |               |                 |

30 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | How will the design of these buildings contribute to the City’s Environmental Action Plan Climate Change target of reducing Green House Gas Emissions by 50% v/v 2005 by 2030 and by 80-100% reduction by FY 2050? This is extremely important as commercial buildings emit the majority of green house gas emissions according to the City’s 2015 GHG Inventory. | Addressed in FAQ                  | 4/28/2021 8                                                 |               |                 |

31 | Information Session     | 1/4/2021   | Please discuss how this development will help the City of Alexandria’s meet its goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions 50% by 2030. | Addressed in FAQ                  | 4/28/2021 8                                                 |               |                 |
information

I hope you will address the environmental sustainability of this project and how it will align with the city's ambitious greenhouse gas reduction goals.

The Landmark Community has invested a lot of time and provided a lot of input to the Howard Hughes development team. How will all of that prior input be captured as the new development team embarks on this project?

Would the redevelopment committee consider an art center with studio spaces and/or work and sleep center for artists. The need for more culture in the area is real.

Moving the hospital to Landmark would seem to be a death-knell for the existing office building at Seminary Rd & Kenmore Avenue. Noted

How will this work with a hospital and fire department located within a residential/commercial plaza? It's hard to imagine how I can eat, shop and enjoy entertainment next to a hospital and fire department.

By how much would the value of Watergate properties increase? Outside this Rezoning Process Scope N/A

The Landmark Community has invested a lot of time and provided a lot of input to the Howard Hughes development team. How will all of that prior input be captured as the new development team embarks on this project?. Noted

Construction of this project could be a great opportunity for low income and minority residents to participate in union apprenticeships, a pathway to the middle class as skilled craftspersons. What steps are the developer, the City and Inova taking to ensure that there are good union jobs on this project? Addressed directly via webinar or email 1/19/2021 17

Please provide the link to watch via YouTube - it's not on the City site or in Justin Wilson's newsletter Noted Addressed at Every Meeting 11

Are we going upgrade roads in the area Addressed in FAQ 4/28/2021 6 9

What plans are there in the works to ensure that there will be either an ESMP for Landmark Mall or at least increased requirements for environmental sustainability than those in the Landmark Van Dorn Small Area Plan or the 2109 Green Building Policy?

Will there be a helipad for the hospital? We already have too much helicopter traffic as it is. I feel residents should be informed now.

How will this work with a hospital and fire department located within a residential/commercial plaza? It's hard to imagine how I can eat, shop and enjoy entertainment next to a hospital and fire department.

Staff of the Department of Planning and Zoning have stated in communications during 2020 that large projects such as Landmark Mall would be the type of project suitable for an Environmental Sustainability Master Plan ("ESMP") like the one for North Potomac Yard. What plans are there in the works to ensure that there will be either an ESMP for Landmark Mall or at least increased requirements for environmental sustainability than those in the Landmark Van Dorn Small Area Plan or the 2109 Green Building Policy?

Would the redevelopment committee consider an art center with studio spaces and/or work and sleep center for artists. The need for more culture in the area is real.

How will this work with a hospital and fire department located within a residential/commercial plaza? It's hard to imagine how I can eat, shop and enjoy entertainment next to a hospital and fire department.

Please provide the link to watch via YouTube - it's not on the City site or in Justin Wilson’s newsletter Noted Addressed at Every Meeting 11

I know someone behind the scenes is doing their best, but the live transcription is awful. Can we please get a live sign language translator for future meetings? It is very hard to follow the slides and the [choppy and incomplete] transcription. Thank you.

Are there restrictions on how high the buildings can be? On the Van Dorn side will the buildings be taller than the current parking garage?

Will there be a helipad for the hospital? We already have too much helicopter traffic as it is. I feel residents should be informed now about this development.

By how much would the value of Watergate properties increase?

Moving the hospital to Landmark would seem to be a death-knell for the existing office building at Seminary Rd & Kenmore Avenue.

What is the city's plan for possible redevelopment of that site?

Will there be a helipad?

This will cause lots of noise for nearby residents

Who decided to allocate Block A, B & C to the hospital functions.

Are we going upgrade roads in the area

I hope you will address the environmental sustainability of this project and how it will align with the city's ambitious greenhouse gas reduction goals.
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*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn't available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types) 6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise) 11 - Schedule/ Meeting Info
2 - Transit 7 - Open Space/ Parks 12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road improvements 8 - Sustainability 13 - Art and Culture
4 - Pedestrian/ Safety 9 - Hospital 14 - Schools
5 - Parking 10 - Financial 15 - Equitable work opportunities
16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
17 - Redevelopment Process
18 - Land Use
19 - Safety
20 - Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Not just roads but what about the noise from ambulance and more vehicles and trucks to the existing neighbors</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>What is being put in place to address the noise?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Also, the traffic. It is nasty now, with nothing there any hiccup caused major backups. Now to add an ER to the mix? THAT MUST be addressed.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Traffic may be one of the larger issues. Several years ago a fly over ramp from Van Dorn St directly into Landmark was suggested. Perhaps a couple of those from varying directions. Currently Duke St heading east at Landmark is MORE dangerous than it used to be. Sound due to ambulances, etc will be awful. The noise is worse than it was about 5 years ago</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>what % of the housing is going to be subsidised housing?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will there be any detached single family affordable housing built in either the landmark or the seminary Road location?</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>What about a better safe walking sidewalk for the west Alexandria to the new Landmark area?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>How is this connected to the Duke Street Transitway - bike, ped, bus service, etc?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Any chance for a level 3 or 4 NICU at the new hospital?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will parking be free?</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Are those specialty physicians moving from the old medical office building on Seminary? What will happen to that site?</td>
<td>Addressed with Seminary Site Process</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>How will this plan incorporate/connect to the van dorn metro station to get people to and from landmark easily (to encourage public transit vs individual car use)?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will a new ramp directly to the hospital/landmark from I-395 be built? The combination of the Duke street/little river turnpike exists into one ramp is already congested during rush hours (even with COVID-19 restrictions) and it would be not enough to support increase traffic to the area</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Is the interchange from 395 be changed to better access the site? Part of the problem with the mall was that access to the mall from the highway was very poor.</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will the redevelopment result in increased property taxes for us? (us being residents)</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Will Inova offer the community free health-based programs s/a classes, health fair, free health screenings, basic are for uninsured residents, other events or services in return for the incentives they are receiving from the city?</td>
<td>Outside this Rezoning Process Scope</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Thank you all - great summary and a great project. - Mark - appreciated the detailed financial breakdown, feels like this could be a material lever to plug the budget deficit. To that end - do you have an estimate on the annual impact of the $778M, particularly in the next ~5 or so years to (hopefully) avoid tax increases?</td>
<td>Pending response</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Information Session</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>Also, will the roads adjacent to the landmark area, in particular the area of Duke street and the crossing of S Walker street be upgraded? That stretch of road of Duke street is in very poor shape. The lights for pedestrian crossing don't even work.</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT
Community Comments/Questions
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)  6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
2 - Transit  7 - Open Space/ Parks
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road improvements  8 - Sustainability
4 - Pedestrian/Bike  9 - Hospital
5 - Parking  10 - Financial
11 - Schedule/ Meeting Info
12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
13 - Art and Culture
14 - Schools
15 - Equitable work opportunities
16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
17 - Redevelopment Process
18 - Land Use
19 - Safety
20 - Related to a different area/project

#  Source Date Comment/ Question Real-time Staff Response Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed Primary Theme Secondary Theme
66 Information Session 1/4/2021 How will this site be connected to the Van Dorn Metro station? Addressed in FAQ 3/25/2021 2
67 Information Session 1/4/2021 Why not just make it all affordable housing? Addressed directly via webinar or email 3/25/2021 1
68 Information Session 1/4/2021 Open space is good but with few decent playing fields for our kids on the west end, was any thought given to creating some planned fields? Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7
69 Information Session 1/4/2021 I now see where the fire station is projected to be located. Is that Duke St. access isolated to only public safety vehicles, simple entry/exit? Pending response 4/28/2021 3 12
70 Information Session 1/4/2021 Will the bond funding include money to fix the Holmes Run Trail? Addressed in FAQ 1/4/2021 10 7
71 Information Session 1/4/2021 How much of the existing forest land on the eastern side of the site will be removed? Part of completed or future planning process(es) 2/22/2019 7
72 Information Session 1/4/2021 Please have Inova hospital officials address what they plan to do once they sell the land of the existing hospital. Are they committed to providing some affordable housing. Thanks Addressed with Seminary Site Process N/A 20
73 Information Session 1/4/2021 Is there coordination with Fairfax County on transit, bike/ped connections across I-395? Addressed directly via webinar or email 4/28/2021 3 11
74 Information Session 1/4/2021 Are we taking a lane in each direction for a road diet for busses only near Landmark in the heavily traffic area that can just handle the traffic now in a non COVID world? Pending response 4/28/2021 3 2
75 Information Session 1/4/2021 Will the hospital below & above grade parking be automated? Part of completed or future planning process(es) 4/28/2021 5 9
76 Information Session 1/4/2021 who will be providing electricity, water, and sewer for this area? Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2021 16
77 Information Session 1/4/2021 How will an ambulance access a trauma center when traffic on Duke street & Van Dorn is jammed because there is no direct access from I395? Addressed in FAQ 4/28/2021 3 9
78 Information Session 1/4/2021 Last year VDOT’s “I-395 Interchange Modification Report” identified the intersections of Little River Turnpike and Oasis Drive, and Duke Street and South Walker Street on either side of 395 near Landmark as areas of high accident rates (86 and 54 accidents, respectively.) In the study, VDOT examined the City Proposal for Duke Street that adds a third lane each way dedicated to buses. VDOT’s modelling determined it had no impact on reducing accidents. And this was a year prior to this new development being announced. How are you then going to address this issue of road accidents, especially with the increase in road traffic due to it? Addressed in FAQ 4/28/2021 3 2
79 Information Session 1/4/2021 “Why not keep the flyover ramp from Duke street? That allows cars to access the site and keeps cars off of duke street that will block the (ONLY) off ramp from I395 wait for the gridlock The traffic on those roads is being ignored. Traffic lights won’t make the volume go away and there is no access from I395 Look at the BRAC debacle” Addressed directly via webinar or email 4/28/2021 3
80 Information Session 1/4/2021 most apartments around this area is somewhat old. will there be a plan to build more apartments or rebuild old apartments? Addressed directly via webinar or email 3/25/2021 1
81 Information Session 1/4/2021 Is there a plan for a police (Metro police/Alexandria) station/hub at the new site? Addressed in FAQ 2/22/2021 18
82 Information Session 1/4/2021 A walking bridge for easy access for pedestrians should be a priority and as part of the infrastructure as a safety feature for all. Duke Street is a very busy intersection and a hazard for pedestrians and mostly people with mobility issues. Such as wheelchair residents Addressed in FAQ 4/28/2021 3 19
83 Information Session 1/4/2021 Senior housing was mentioned as a possibility - please explain. Any LTC or CCRC? Part of completed or future planning process(es) 3/25/2021 1
I’m very excited about the development of the landmark area. If you live in the west part of Alexandria, this has been a long awaited project. While I agree with you that development shouldn’t be compromised, I’m concerned about the increase vehicle traffic this development would carry. There is already vehicle congestion in that area because of the closure of the pre-existent ramp from southbound I-395 to eastbound Duke street. The exit was not replaced but rather combined with the exit ramp to westbound Duke st/little river turnpike. There is no HOV exit for Duke St. so anyone wanting to go this area uses this newly combined ramp. Even though the two exits were combined, the ramp is still one lane until it reaches Quantrell Ave, where it bifurcates into 2 and then rapidly into 4 lanes, however the addition of traffic lights (to either turn left or right on Duke street) reduces the somewhat improved flow by the bifurcation of lanes. I’m all for public transportation and other methods of commuting than cars (I’m a bus and metro rider myself) but we have to acknowledge that not all people can ride public transportation or bikes or scooters to work, especially in an area where the majority of us commute back and forth to DC and where there are no viable or time efficient bike trails to and from DC. The reality is that vehicle traffic will increase to the area, specially with the new hospital and new housing, and the development should address this fair concern of local residents.

Given that there is somewhat low income area directly across from the highway (Sanger Ave area), and given that the City is trying to take care of it’s lower income residents by allowing that area to continue to be affordable, has it considered building an Urgent Care in the complex so that our lower income residents’ health can be taken care of? There seems to be nothing in that area to serve the lower income residents, and it might be something to consider for many reasons. Given that there is somewhat low income area directly across from the highway (Sanger Ave area), and given that the City is trying to take care of it’s lower income residents by allowing that area to continue to be affordable, has it considered building an Urgent Care in the complex so that our lower income residents’ health can be taken care of? There seems to be nothing in that area to serve the lower income residents, and it might be something to consider for many reasons.

The Landmark Small Area Plan includes a school among the potential public facilities on the Landmark site; explain the background and implications for including or not including a school in the Landmark redevelopment.

What is the plan for the current medical office building on Kenmore across from the Burke library?

INOVIA is requesting a helipad at the Landmark location. How many (if any) helipad sites are there in the City?

The Landmark redevelopment FAQ mentions the school site at the Greenhill North redevelopment site. Explain the thinking behind the utility of this 30,000 square foot parcel of a school (elementary or middle). What is 30,000 sq ft in acres? Is this site big enough for a middle school? How many kids can we get on a site of that size? Is there enough space for teacher parking?

The present Alexandria Hospital is 318 beds. What went into deciding to create a 230 bed hospital?
In addition to outdoor gathering space, the West End is also in need of indoor community meeting space. Ideally, this should serve at

EWLVD Adv.

Addressed directly via webinar or email 1/4/2021 9

EWLVD Adv.

1/19/2021 Can FP share whether there are currently any significant deviations from the 2019 CDD? Addressed directly via webinar or email Addressed at Every Meeting 11

EWLVD Adv.

1/19/2021 What was your thinking of having a neighborhood park off Duke (a major road)? Previously it was in the middle of area plan. Pending response 2/22/2019 7 16

Please clarify: how did FP come to this project? is FP an owner? Please summarize how ownership has evolved from Sears Howard

EWLVD Adv.

1/19/2021

1/19/2021

1/19/2021

1/19/2021 When were the rules established regarding open spaces? Do they consider climate change? Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7

96 Email 1/8/2021 In addition to outdoor gathering space, the West End is also in need of indoor community meeting space. Ideally, this should serve at least 50-100 people and be available for community meetings

Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7

97 Email 1/8/2021 As an example, the Sentara Northern Virginia Medical Center in Woodbridge has a really great conference space, the Hilton Education Center, that can also be utilized by the community. Is INOVA thinking of anything like that?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 1/4/2021 9

98 Email 1/9/2021 I am concerned about the small area (3.5 acres) that the Landmark redevelopment project has allocated to public park space, including a central public park. According to your website, this "green" area represents 7% of the total Landmark site.

I attended the previous virtual meeting on January 4 where Mr. Cameron Pratt emphasized that the new development would be a place where neighbors would like to gather. As a resident of the Landmark neighborhood, I would love to have a place for recreation and leisure nearby. As you and the companies involved in this project acknowledge, our environment has a tremendous influence on people's behavior. Green areas create an inviting place, which will attract people to the Landmark site. In these times of climate change, it is incumbent on all of us to give high priority to green areas which contribute to the health of our planet as well as the medical services, commercial opportunities, and housing offered by the proposed Landmark project.

Considering that this project seeks to maximize the space allocated to housing, without sacrificing environmental concerns, I noticed that the proposed green spaces that separate buildings are too narrow. I would like to propose the creation of wide attractive green spaces between the rows of houses as shown in the photo below:

Furthermore, the hospital area needs an outdoor place of solace for patients and families who may be confronting difficult times. The Barnes Hospital in Saint Louis has a fountain in a plaza that fulfills this purpose as you can see here: Maya Lin – Ellen Clark Hope Plaza – Claycorp. In addition, I hope that you find creative ways to beautify the parking structure. There are many examples throughout the world where plants have helped to make cold cement structures more attractive. I have no doubt that the City of Alexandria can find talented architect-designers who can provide innovative solutions that make all of us proud to live in a city which cares about its environment.

As an example, the Sentara Northern Virginia Medical Center in Woodbridge has a really great conference space, the Hilton Education Center, that can also be utilized by the community. Is INOVA thinking of anything like that?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7

99 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 When were the rules established regarding open spaces? Do they consider climate change?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7

100 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 What type of buildings will be allowed?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2021 16

101 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 Can FP share whether there are currently any significant deviations from the 2019 CDD?

Addressed directly via webinar or email

Addressed at Every Meeting 11

102 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 Please clarify: how did FP come to this project? is FP an owner? Please summarize how ownership has evolved from Sears Howard Hughes to the current ownership.

Addressed directly via webinar or email 1/19/2021 17

103 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 What's the actual planned acres of open spaces? you said you're meeting the min, but are you exceeding it?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 2/22/2019 7

104 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 What was your thinking of having a neighborhood park off Duke (a major road)? Previously it was in the middle of area plan.

Pending response 2/22/2019 7 16

105 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 How have you planned for traffic being impacted with a hospital right off 395’s exit, where it’s already a busy intersection without a hospital?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 4/28/2021 3

106 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 Please compare the bed-count at the current hospital with teh future bed-count at Landmark. Are there new functions comign to the new hospital.

Addressed directly via webinar or email 1/4/2021 9

107 EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021 From Van Dorn traveling south, how will someone head west on Duke St?

Addressed directly via webinar or email 4/28/2021 3
Any thoughts re: the kind of retail the developer is hoping to attract to the site? Specifically, I'd hope to see a mix in terms of income levels targeted by the retail (i.e., not only high-end restaurants and shops)

Are there any plans to engage the neighboring apartment communities in creating methods of sound attenuation for their tenants? Currently the fire station that's two blocks away contributes a lot of noise on a regular basis. With the creation of a hospital and connected ambulances I'm concerned that nearby resident's satisfaction will be negatively impacted.

What about the Framework Streets, will they be complete streets? Is the city committed to that? Why did we drop the green streets concept and Northern Van Dorn entrance?

Will INOVA joining the West End change the internet access in the area? Currently, Comcast has a monopoly in the area.

There's really just 2 traffic entry/exits currently for Landmark. Say some more about how bottlenecks will be resolved, especially potential new 395 connection.

Thank you for asking about schools being included in the plan. Our city needs more schools for our students and teachers! Noted

If it's going to be a Trauma 2 center. Does this mean there will be many ambulances coming in? What is the noise consideration from connected ambulances I'm concerned that nearby resident’s satisfaction will be negatively impacted.

Currently the fire station that's two blocks away contributes a lot of noise on a regular basis. With the creation of a hospital and connected ambulances I'm concerned that nearby resident's satisfaction will be negatively impacted.

If you review the vision or plan related to the affordable housing component of the project, please?

Can you review the vision or plan related to the affordable housing component of the project, please?

affordable housing, no mention, original plan calls for 30-80% AMI, and senior housing co-located with firestation

Any thoughts re: the kind of retail the developer is hoping to attract to the site? Specifically, I'd hope to see a mix in terms of income levels targeted by the retail (i.e., not only high-end restaurants and shops)

Any thoughts re: the kind of retail the developer is hoping to attract to the site? Specifically, I'd hope to see a mix in terms of income levels targeted by the retail (i.e., not only high-end restaurants and shops)

What about the Framework Streets, will they be complete streets? Is the city committed to that? Why did we drop the green streets concept and Northern Van Dorn entrance?

When it comes to noise, is Inova also taking that into consideration? Fire engines are noisy, but so are ambulances and helicopters

When it comes to noise, is Inova also taking that into consideration? Fire engines are noisy, but so are ambulances and helicopters

Is there a possibility of a school on the site

What are the environmental practices expected to be used? Addressed directly via webinar or email

If you review the vision or plan related to the affordable housing component of the project, please?

affordable housing, no mention, original plan calls for 30-80% AMI, and senior housing co-located with firestation

Any thoughts re: the kind of retail the developer is hoping to attract to the site? Specifically, I'd hope to see a mix in terms of income levels targeted by the retail (i.e., not only high-end restaurants and shops)

There's really just 2 traffic entry/exits currently for Landmark. Say some more about how bottlenecks will be resolved, especially potential new 395 connection.

Thank you for asking about schools being included in the plan. Our city needs more schools for our students and teachers! Noted

If you review the vision or plan related to the affordable housing component of the project, please?
LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT
Community Comments/Questions
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types) 6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
2 - Transit 7 - Open Space/ Parks
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road Improvements 8 - Sustainability
4 - Pedestrian/Bike 9 - Hospital
5 - Parking 10 - Financial
11 - Schedule/ Meeting info 16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise) 17 - Redevelopment Process
13 - Art and Culture 18 - Land Use
14 - Schools 19 - Safety
15 - Equitable work opportunities 20 - Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>The design looks wonderful and as a resident of the Lincolnia Hills, a slowly revitalizing area of Alexandria for the past 34 years, I’m excited at the prospect of having something so attractive almost within walking distance of Lincolnia Hills. Even at 60 years old, I would walk there and so would my neighbors. I never go to Old Town and my neighbors don’t either because it just takes too long to get there from this end of town (25-30 minutes). I have a two fold question: Nick spoke of a “rising tide” which implies using this project to elevate surrounding areas. Can you include a very beautiful walking bridge over 395, complete with beautiful lamposts to make it inviting for those of us in Lincolnia to walk there and enjoy it. Second question. I notice you are adding 4 signals to an already bottled up Duke Street which was in recent years made more bottled up by the signal on Oasis Drive and the new exit ramp from 395. 4 signals will be deadly. Are they all really necessary? Thank you.</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Are there any plans to revitalize the surrounding areas: S. Pickett, Van Dorn, Stevenson Road, etc?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>What is going to happen to existing facilities? Fire station next to McDonald’s and the existing hospital?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Pedestrian bridge over 395, can site plan accomodate that?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>What is the pedestrian walking distance from bus bays to hospital site</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>What’s going in the area where the existing multi-level parking lot is?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>How and why is the density lower than allowed?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Why not a school on the site?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>How is parking for the residential portions going to be handled?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Road J, will it connect to 395?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Total amount of parking and for whom?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>How is parking for the residential portions going to be handled?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Concern about flexibility of open space and access to garage</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2019</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>Consider space requirements for shared mobility devices</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>what is the process for the greater property owners and the Small area implementation</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 1/19/2021</td>
<td>With the Hospital having a Helicopter Pad, what noise level will that bring.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks for the excellent presentations and discussions at this week’s Advisory Group meeting. I agree with and strongly support Bill Pugh’s comment at that meeting about ensuring that development plans include provisions for a suitable landing pad location on the Landmark property. By the time the Landmark property is developed, people should be able to access the site not only by walking and biking, but by more readily-available micromobility devices such as scooters and e-bikes. The hospital, retail and transit hub will all draw residents from the other side of I-395, so the pedestrian bridge will be critical to promoting non-motor vehicle access.

While I realize that VDOT & Federal funding will be needed to build the bridge, Federal funding should be much more available relatively soon: the expected new USDOT leadership team of Pete Buttigieg and Polly Trottenberg are both strongly committed to increasing funding for walking, biking, and transit projects. The recent change is Senate leadership suggests they will be successful in achieving that objective. Bottom line, the probability of external funding for the pedestrian bridge is much greater than it was in 2019, so it is more important today that provisions for the bridge be included in development plans for the Landmark property.

**Please note** The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

**Community Meeting Topics:**

Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

**Themes:**

1. Housing (affordable, senior, types)
2. Transit
3. Traffic/Access/ Road Improvements
4. Pedestrian/Bike
5. Parking
6. Heliport & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
7. Open Space/ Parks
8. Sustainability
9. Hospital
10. Financial
11. Schedule/ Meeting Info
12. Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
13. Art and Culture
14. Schools
15. Equitable work opportunities
16. Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
17. Redevelopment Process
18. Land Use
19. Safety
20. Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>1/21/2021</td>
<td>Thanks for the excellent presentations and discussions at this week’s Advisory Group meeting. I agree with and strongly support Bill Pugh’s comment at that meeting about ensuring that development plans include provisions for a suitable landing pad location on the Landmark property. By the time the Landmark property is developed, people should be able to access the site not only by walking and biking, but by more readily-available micromobility devices such as scooters and e-bikes. The hospital, retail and transit hub will all draw residents from the other side of I-395, so the pedestrian bridge will be critical to promoting non-motor vehicle access. While I realize that VDOT &amp; Federal funding will be needed to build the bridge, Federal funding should be much more available relatively soon: the expected new USDOT leadership team of Pete Buttigieg and Polly Trottenberg are both strongly committed to increasing funding for walking, biking, and transit projects. The recent change is Senate leadership suggests they will be successful in achieving that objective. Bottom line, the probability of external funding for the pedestrian bridge is much greater than it was in 2019, so it is more important today that provisions for the bridge be included in development plans for the Landmark property.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>2/15/2021</td>
<td>How do I and other interested parties get on the agenda to address the group</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>Addressed at Every Meeting</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Will there be better bike and foot traffic access across Duke street?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Can noise restrictions be placed on all EMS vehicles within 1/2 mile radius of the new fire station so that those living in existing buildings (which will not have the upgraded STC requirements which will be required for the exterior walls of the ADUs built directly above the new fire station) can be spared from the additional amounts of sirens due to both the fire station relocation as well as the hospital?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Will there be a bus stop and bus service to the van dorn street metro?</td>
<td>Addressed at FAQ</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>My only egress on to Duke Street is S. Walker. Have traffic impact studies been performed to determine effects on residents across from the development?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I remain concerned about noise levels: first, the hospital ambulances and now fire engines!</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I may have missed this information, but what is the anticipated number of affordable housing units to be provided?</td>
<td>Pending response</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I noticed that in your 3-D rendering of the project that there was what looked like a pedestrian bridge originating at Little River Tpke and crossing the highway to the back of the hospital. Might it not be better to have that bridge going across the highway to Quantrell Avenue to give better access not only to that neighborhood but also to the Lincolnia Hills neighborhood. The bridge as you have it now only provides access to a commercial area at which there are more retail stores.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I did not understand those having to do with schools</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Is parking going to be reserved to residential owners?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Is the available parking going to be sufficient to meet residential and non-residential needs?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Community Comments/Questions

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

## Community Meeting Topics:

- **Jan. 4: General Information**
- **Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview**
- **Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space**
- **March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad**
- **April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities**

### Themes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>With the increase traffic, how is the traffic flow going to be adjusted to deal with this?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Question for AFD Deputy Chief Cross: The current 208 station on N. Paxton is hardly one of the oldest or most undersized stations. What effect will &quot;bumping this station replacement to the top of the list&quot; have on delaying replacement of other, older stations?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>It is greatly appreciated to have additional housing. Is it envisioned to have some type of rent control which would enable the community not to have to move around so often because rentals keep going up? That is a very unfortunate way of living in this area and there seems to be no relief in sight. Especially for the community that depends on renting as they cannot afford the rising housing prices in this area that seem to be out of control and therefore not enabling everyone in the community to own in this area and have to move further away and deal with traffic and expensive HOT lanes.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Can you please summarize the parking ratios and totals by use category for the project?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Chief Cross - how do we address the noise issue for existing residents in the area</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Will the City be looking at value capture strategies to help support future transit investments along Duke and Van Dorn?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>1/4/2021</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>How will you address siren noise of incoming ambulances to the hospital ER? Where is the ER to be on the map?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>How does the amount of density impact city goals regarding having residents near transit?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>How do you get people to live and work in a location? Who are the people and what types of jobs will they have?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>What is the analysis being done regarding parking?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>How is the planned design and buildings consistent with the environmental sustainability statements in the SAP such as: sustainability building practices, protection and provision of...</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Would it not be more efficient to place the transit center at an edge of the property with a shuttle to make its way through the smaller neighborhood streets?</td>
<td>Pending response</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I had several questions regarding the connection to Holmes Run: 1) Is the trail along Van Dorn going to have a dedicated bike trail? 2) Pedestrians and cyclists are going to have an awkward and dangerous crossing at the crosswalk at the Broadstone and Van Dorn and then they have to go down the hill to the Ripley bridge. Are you going to improve the pedestrian crossing? 3) Have you looked at building a direct connection to Holmes Run by running the trail between the Broadstone and 395?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>What about using renewable energy such as solar on rooftops?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>How does this development impact van dorn/ duke area communities?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT

Community Comments/Questions

as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)
2 - Transit
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road Improvements
4 - Pedestrian/Bike
5 - Parking
6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
7 - Open Space/ Parks
8 - Sustainability
9 - Hospital
10 - Financial
11 - Schedule/ Meeting Info
12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
13 - Art and Culture
14 - Schools
15 - Equitable work opportunities
16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
17 - Redevelopment Process
18 - Land Use
19 - Safety
20 - Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Is reusable energy being developed/ added into this project, ie: solar panels?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Bikes and pedestrians can use Duke Street to get from the retail and residential areas on the west side of I-395.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>What is the plan for ten years from now when autonomous electric vehicles will have massively reduced individual ownership of cars? What happens to the parking garage at that point?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>So will there be a pedestrian bridge across Duke St?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>On subject of foot and bike transportation, an important need not mentioned is safety. The sidewalk ends and a pedestrian must navigate across cars coming off the ramp. There is a great need for sidewalk and an over 395 ramp. Non-drivers with baby carriages take their life in their hands walking from Duke to Little River Turnpike. This is another reason for a pedestrian/biking bridge across 395.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Is office space part of the mix of uses?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>In the original Landmark Area Plan (12+ years ago) it was noted that there are no places of worship designated in the West End of Alexandria. Are there any conciderations to places of worship being given in this new version?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>I would suggest that retail should be mandatory along road 4 all the way to Duke St. The invisible retail within Cameron Station is moribund and this should not be repeated.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Condo style structure for ownership for the potential places of worship?</td>
<td>Part of completed or future planning process(es)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>Have you looked at the Shirlington Village area as a possible example for the area.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commissioners,

I am writing to express my support for re-development of Landmark Mall and to call for good bike and pedestrian accommodation within the new development and good bike/ped access to it from outside. Good bike facilities within the development, and good trail access to it, will help ensure its success as a vibrant urban place, economically thriving, and helping the City meet its environmental goals, including for non-auto mode share and reduced vehicle miles traveled.

There are in my opinion three issues that need to be addressed to meet the above goals:

1. Good bike infrastructure within the development. There should be a protected bike lane on “Road 1” to connect the proposed bike lanes on roads 3 and 5. Without this
   a. Fewer people will choose to bike here – meaning less economic viability and more congestion
   b. There will be conflicts between cyclists and pedestrians on the sidewalks
   c. There will be conflicts between cyclists and motorists in the general travel lanes
2. Accommodations, space allocation for the future pedestrian bridge across I-395
   a. There are several dense apt complexes right across the I395 from the new development. Absent a bike/ped bridge many of these people will drive to the new development, impacting congestion. A bike/ped bridge is a City priority identified by the Transportation Commission, to better connect the different parts of the City
   b. While the bridge may not get financing for some time, it is important that its construction not be precluded by anything done now
   c. A landing spot by Road 7 should be feasible, but one further southwest would provide a better alternative to the inadequate sidewalks on Duke Street
3. Walking and biking access to and from the Holmes Run Trail.
   a. Important way for pedestrians and cyclists to access the development from a key piece of bike/ped infrastructure
   b. If it is going to be via a trail from Terrace Park to the MUP on Van Dorn, the conditions on Holmes Run Parkway need to be addressed – either widening the MUP on the south side of the Parkway, or a protected bike lane.
   c. A trail directly from the development to the Holmes Run Trail passing between I395 and the Broadstone Van Dorn apartments would be a more direct and better connection, if it can be done at reasonable cost. The feasibility of that trail should be explored.

Please find attached a letter on behalf of the Faith Alliance for Climate Solutions-Alexandria Group regarding encouraging strong sustainability features in the Landmark redevelopment project. We are a group of Alexandria residents who are people of faith working toward local solutions to the climate crisis, members of approximately 15 congregations and additional nonaffiliated individuals.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.
**LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT**

**Community Comments/Questions**
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

**Community Meeting Topics:**

Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Admendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

**Themes:**

1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)  6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)  11 - Schedule/ Meeting Info  16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
2 - Transit  7 - Open Space/ Parks  12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)  17 - Redevelopment Process
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road Improvements  8 - Sustainability  13 - Art and Culture  18 - Land Use
4 - Pedestrian/Bike  9 - Hospital  14 - Schools  19 - Safety
5 - Parking  10 - Financial  15 - Equitable work opportunities  20 - Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>I'm concerned that the location of the bus hub will act as a barrier to accessing the interior of the site, which appears to host the most desirable destinations. Why not located the hub underneath the parking area at rear of site, away from the central park zone?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>At Inova, two blocks from the bus is a long walk for oncology patients. Can’t you set a bus stop at the Oncology Center?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1) Why is the transit center not closer to the hospital? 2) could you provide maps showing how each bus intended to serve the site will maneuver within the site. For example, I am concerned about how much time it will take for 29K/29N which is intended to serve Duke Street/Little River turnpike to exit onto to Van Dorn, wait for 2) could you provide maps showing how each bus intended to serve the site will maneuver within the site. For example, I am concerned about how much time it will take for 29K/29N which is intended to serve Duke Street/Little River turnpike to exit onto to Van Dorn, wait for Lights, and then rejoin Duke</td>
<td>Outside this Rezoning Process Scope</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2) could you provide maps showing how each bus intended to serve the site will maneuver within the site. For example, I am concerned about how much time it will take for 29K/29N which is intended to serve Duke Street/Little River turnpike to exit onto to Van Dorn, wait for Lights, and then rejoin Duke</td>
<td>Pending response</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Public process for CDD at landmark Mall for administrative text amendments?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>1/19/2021</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Thank you all for this presentation. For the transportation and affordable housing presenters: do you have a current and proposed map of the transportation routes specifically in relation to current and anticipated affordable housing rental properties?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Will there be any interference between hospital helicopters and military/Pentagon helicopters?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Is there a scenario where we can allow more buses?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>What is the noise considerations for helicopters so close to residential areas?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>How many of the buses will be gas. Fumes problem. Also the articulated buses make turning more difficult not only here but also turning from Vand Dorn to Sanger</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>I'm just curious, how does one define affordable housing.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT
Community Comments/Questions
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

## Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

## Themes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Do we have stats on the number of households in the City who currently need and are anticipated to need affordable housing once the development is complete?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Putting affordable housing next to transit is going to be critical for sustainability and equity in the city</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>What is the likelihood of the contingencies working out for the affordable housing component?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Are Alexandria residents give first priority when it comes to affordable housing.</td>
<td>Outside this Rezoning Process Scope</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>there has been an increase in the number of helicopters going up and down 395 to the pentagon. There is much more noise your study should include what that increase is from and if it is covid or capital security related. Will the continue?</td>
<td>Outside this Rezoning Process Scope</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Is Jim able to share where I could find that info from DASH?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>As a townhome homeowner near landmark mall should I be concerned? Will these Dev plans help us compete or exceed the vibrancy of old town/Potomac Yard...or take us in the opposite direction?</td>
<td>Addressed in FAQ</td>
<td>2/22/2021</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Will the current transit hub be shut down during demolition and construction?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT**  
**Community Comments/Questions**  
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

**Community Meeting Topics:**
- Jan. 4: General Information
- Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
- Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
- March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
- April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
- May 13th: Project Overview
- May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Admendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

**Themes:**
- 1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)
- 2 - Transit
- 3 - Traffic/Access/Road Improvements
- 4 - Pedestrian/Bike Safety
- 5 - Parking
- 6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
- 7 - Open Space/Parks
- 8 - Sustainability
- 9 - Hospital
- 10 - Financial
- 11 - Schedule/Meeting Info
- 12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)
- 13 - Art and Culture
- 14 - Schools
- 15 - Equitable work opportunities
- 16 - Architecture/Building Heights/Design
- 17 - Redevelopment Process
- 18 - Land Use
- 19 - Safety
- 20 - Related to a different area/project
- 21 - Financial
- 22 - Transportation
- 23 - Building heights
- 24 - Redevelopment process
- 25 - Community involvement
- 26 - Public feedback
- 27 - Public engagement
- 28 - Public hearings
- 29 - Public meetings
- 30 - Public notices
- 31 - Public comments
- 32 - Public questions
- 33 - Public answers
- 34 - Public information
- 35 - Public透明度
- 36 - Public透明度
- 37 - Public透明度
- 38 - Public透明度
- 39 - Public透明度
- 40 - Public透明度
- 41 - Public透明度
- 42 - Public透明度
- 43 - Public透明度
- 44 - Public透明度
- 45 - Public透明度
- 46 - Public透明度
- 47 - Public透明度
- 48 - Public透明度
- 49 - Public透明度
- 50 - Public透明度
- 51 - Public透明度
- 52 - Public透明度
- 53 - Public透明度
- 54 - Public透明度
- 55 - Public透明度
- 56 - Public透明度
- 57 - Public透明度
- 58 - Public透明度
- 59 - Public透明度
- 60 - Public透明度
- 61 - Public透明度
- 62 - Public透明度
- 63 - Public透明度
- 64 - Public透明度
- 65 - Public透明度
- 66 - Public透明度
- 67 - Public透明度
- 68 - Public透明度
- 69 - Public透明度
- 70 - Public透明度
- 71 - Public透明度
- 72 - Public透明度
- 73 - Public透明度
- 74 - Public透明度
- 75 - Public透明度
- 76 - Public透明度
- 77 - Public透明度
- 78 - Public透明度
- 79 - Public透明度
- 80 - Public透明度
- 81 - Public透明度
- 82 - Public透明度
- 83 - Public透明度
- 84 - Public透明度
- 85 - Public透明度
- 86 - Public透明度
- 87 - Public透明度
- 88 - Public透明度
- 89 - Public透明度
- 90 - Public透明度
- 91 - Public透明度
- 92 - Public透明度
- 93 - Public透明度
- 94 - Public透明度
- 95 - Public透明度
- 96 - Public透明度
- 97 - Public透明度
- 98 - Public透明度
- 99 - Public透明度
- 100 - Public透明度
- 101 - Public透明度
- 102 - Public透明度
- 103 - Public透明度
- 104 - Public透明度
- 105 - Public透明度
- 106 - Public透明度
- 107 - Public透明度
- 108 - Public透明度
- 109 - Public透明度
- 110 - Public透明度
- 111 - Public透明度
- 112 - Public透明度
- 113 - Public透明度
- 114 - Public透明度
- 115 - Public透明度
- 116 - Public透明度
- 117 - Public透明度
- 118 - Public透明度
- 119 - Public透明度
- 120 - Public透明度
- 121 - Public透明度
- 122 - Public透明度
- 123 - Public透明度
- 124 - Public透明度
- 125 - Public透明度
- 126 - Public透明度
- 127 - Public透明度
- 128 - Public透明度
- 129 - Public透明度
- 130 - Public透明度
- 131 - Public透明度
- 132 - Public透明度
- 133 - Public透明度
- 134 - Public透明度
- 135 - Public透明度
- 136 - Public透明度
- 137 - Public透明度
- 138 - Public透明度
- 139 - Public透明度
- 140 - Public透明度
- 141 - Public透明度
- 142 - Public透明度
- 143 - Public透明度
- 144 - Public透明度
- 145 - Public透明度
- 146 - Public透明度
- 147 - Public透明度
- 148 - Public透明度
- 149 - Public透明度
- 150 - Public透明度
- 151 - Public透明度
- 152 - Public透明度
- 153 - Public透明度
- 154 - Public透明度
- 155 - Public透明度
- 156 - Public透明度
- 157 - Public透明度
- 158 - Public透明度
- 159 - Public透明度
- 160 - Public透明度
- 161 - Public透明度
- 162 - Public透明度
- 163 - Public透明度
- 164 - Public透明度
- 165 - Public透明度
- 166 - Public透明度
- 167 - Public透明度
- 168 - Public透明度
- 169 - Public透明度
- 170 - Public透明度
- 171 - Public透明度
- 172 - Public透明度
- 173 - Public透明度
- 174 - Public透明度
- 175 - Public透明度
- 176 - Public透明度
- 177 - Public透明度
- 178 - Public透明度
- 179 - Public透明度
- 180 - Public透明度
- 181 - Public透明度
- 182 - Public透明度
- 183 - Public透明度
- 184 - Public透明度
- 185 - Public透明度
- 186 - Public透明度
- 187 - Public透明度
- 188 - Public透明度
- 189 - Public透明度
- 190 - Public透明度
- 191 - Public透明度
- 192 - Public透明度
- 193 - Public透明度
- 194 - Public透明度
- 195 - Public透明度
- 196 - Public透明度
- 197 - Public透明度
- 198 - Public透明度
- 199 - Public透明度
- 200 - Public透明度

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 210 | Email  | 3/25/2021  | Very exciting news about a solution to the Landmark site. We have an apartment in Cameron Station, so we are following this development. I do have two questions:  

1. Complicated site traffic-wise, with a planned hospital and a new fire and EMS substation. I cannot quite grasp how it would become pedestrian-friendly, let alone driver friendly. I'm hoping for hovercrafts! Since this is already a driver and pedestrian nightmare site, how have designers managed ingress-egress?  

The new Duke St exit from 395 is somewhat of an improvement for freeway drivers, but only marginally better for local drivers & pedestrians.  

Drivers and unfortunate pedestrians still have a gauntlet of weird intersections between Braddock Rd. and Reynolds or Pickett Sts. Lincoln/Beauregard then overpass then Landmark Mall with its puzzling entrances and exits and Van Dorn & its link to 495—a freeway and all major thoroughfares, and with plans to rethink transport on Duke/Little River Turnpike.  

A very big traffic pattern challenge for a site that advertises as urban residential friendly. And who will pay?  

2. Noise. A hospital and ER and a fire-EMT substation are great. But how to make this urban and heavy traffic junction residential? | Addressed directly via webinar or email | 4/28/2021 | 3 | 6 |
| 211 | Email  | 4/3/2021   | Regarding Block M - Owner townhouses, I believe What is the justification/necessity of developing Block M as residential owned housing and NOT more mixed use green space? Please consider scrapping owned housing and put the development money into making walkable bridges and bike paths to bring the current housing easily into this lovely place. | Addressed directly via webinar or email | 2/22/2019 | 7 |
| 212 | Email  | 4/3/2021   | Comments: I look at the shape and see that it screams amphitheatre or big farmer's markets, etc. I understand affordable rentals above retail like Shirlington, but I don't understand taking valuable once-in-a-lifetime available space for owned and exclusive housing. | Addressed directly via webinar or email | 3/25/2021 | 1 |
| 213 | Email  | 4/3/2021   | More Comments: The less housing, the less vehicles, the more bikes and play. Is it property tax generation, is it developing a balanced community, is it low inventory? Do we need exclusive housing? Wouldn't it be better to bring more community space to the redevelopment, making all the surrounding and tired condo buildings more desirable to buy and spruce up. We have lot's of condos for sale on our side of Duke for $200K-$300sK. Most very tired two and three bedrooms, but affordable places people can buy. We have Cameron Station and lot's of other areas for high end community housing. I just don't get it. | Addressed directly via webinar or email | 3/25/2021 | 1 |
Dear City of Alexandria leaders,

Representing over 450 doctors, nurses and allied health professionals in Virginia, Virginia Clinicians for Climate Action (VCCA) joins physicians across the nation in seeking to lead our communities towards a just and healthy future with transformative climate-smart healthcare. The new Alexandria hospital at Landmark represents a generational opportunity to make Alexandria City the regional leader in healthcare sustainability. We cannot afford to miss this opportunity.

Environmental degradation and climate change pose rising risks to our health and safety. These risks are borne disproportionately by the same marginalized communities most harmed by coronavirus. Worsening extreme weather events are placing our patients at risk of injury, death, disrupted medical services and mental health effects. Longer and more intense heat waves increasingly threaten our patients with heat-related illness, particularly the elderly, outdoor workers and student athletes. More severe allergy seasons worsen exacerbations of asthma, COPD, and allergic diseases. Air pollution from fossil fuel combustion is increasing risk of stroke, heart attack and hypertension.

The Landmark project provides a singular opportunity for the City of Alexandria to become a visionary green healthcare leader in sustainability and climate solutions. A leading green hospital would attract and retain staff, enhance clinician and community well-being, generate much needed “good news” stories and truly support the climate goals of our city.

Incorporating sustainability as a core value from the onset of the planning process is necessary to create a regional model for green healthcare with minimal increased cost. Bold climate-smart measures can support the emission reduction goals of our city and state, meet the growing expectations of our citizens and health professionals, and generate significant cost savings.

Inova’s long commitment to sustainability was recently recognized when all five Inova hospitals were awarded the 2020 Partner for Change Environmental Excellence Award from Practice Greenhealth. Inova’s participation on the U.S. Health Care Climate Council demonstrates their commitment to innovative climate solutions and to driving Virginia’s transformation to a healthy, sustainable economy.

We recommend the following actions to build on this success for the new facility planning process:

1) Make sustainability a guiding principle for the planning process of the new facility. Ensure plans are made from the very beginning to maximize efficiency, on-site clean energy generation, waste reduction and low-emission commuting. These goals can be met with minimal increased cost if incorporated early, as opposed to adding them on at a later date.

Noted 4/28/2021 8

215 Email 4/12/2021

The Landmark project provides a singular opportunity for the City of Alexandria to become a visionary green healthcare leader in sustainability and climate solutions. A leading green hospital would attract and retain staff, enhance clinician and community well-being, generate much needed “good news” stories and truly support the climate goals of our city.

Incorporating sustainability as a core value from the onset of the planning process is necessary to create a regional model for green healthcare with minimal increased cost. Bold climate-smart measures can support the emission reduction goals of our city and state, meet the growing expectations of our citizens and health professionals, and generate significant cost savings.

Inova’s long commitment to sustainability was recently recognized when all five Inova hospitals were awarded the 2020 Partner for Change Environmental Excellence Award from Practice Greenhealth. Inova’s participation on the U.S. Health Care Climate Council demonstrates their commitment to innovative climate solutions and to driving Virginia’s transformation to a healthy, sustainable economy.

We recommend the following actions to build on this success for the new facility planning process:

1) Make sustainability a guiding principle for the planning process of the new facility. Ensure plans are made from the very beginning to maximize efficiency, on-site clean energy generation, waste reduction and low-emission commuting. These goals can be met with minimal increased cost if incorporated early, as opposed to adding them on at a later date.

Noted 4/28/2021 8

216 Email 4/12/2021

Also, I agree with one advisor panelist - it seems impossible to have affordable owned housing available because it only lasts one generation. That housing will be able to double in price for the next buyer due to market forces. It happened with military turnover housing in California, it doubled in 5 years...not affordable any more.

Addressed directly via webinar or email 3/25/2021 1

214 Email 4/3/2021

Dear City of Alexandria leaders,

Also, I agree with one advisor panelist - it seems impossible to have affordable owned housing available because it only lasts one generation. That housing will be able to double in price for the next buyer due to market forces. It happened with military turnover housing in California, it doubled in 5 years...not affordable any more.

Addressed directly via webinar or email 3/25/2021 1
**LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT**  
**Community Comments/Questions**  
as of 05/04/2021  
*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

**Community Meeting Topics:**  
Jan. 4: General Information  
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview  
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space  
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad  
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities  
May 13th: Project Overview  
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings  

Themes:  
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types)  
2 - Transit  
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road Improvements  
4 - Pedestrian/Bike  
5 - Parking  
6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)  
7 - Open Space/ Parks  
8 - Sustainability  
9 - Hospital  
10 - Financial  
11 - Schedule/ Meeting Info  
12 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise)  
13 - Art and Culture  
14 - Schools  
15 - Equitable work opportunities  
16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design  
17 - Redevelopment Process  
18 - Land Use  
19 - Safety  
20 - Related to a different area/project  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>4/12/2021</td>
<td>2) Establish bold, low-emission goals aligned with the established science, as well as the Virginia Clean Economy Act, the City of Alexandria’s Environmental Action Plan and Green Building Policy. In order to meet the City’s goal of reduced greenhouse gas emissions 50% by 2030, this new facility should be LEED Platinum certified with electricity that is 100% renewable by 2035. Hospitals across the nation are being built to these standards today, including Rainbow Center for Women and Children in Cleveland, OH, Packard Children’s Hospital in Palo Alto, CA and Dell Children’s in Austin, TX.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>4/12/2021</td>
<td>3) Appoint an internal green executive team, including Inova’s Sustainability Department, to oversee this effort, provide leadership and coordinate with an outside design team experienced in green building.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>4/12/2021</td>
<td>A healthy climate is the foundation for the health and safety of all current and future Virginians. This requires bold vision to reduce greenhouse gas emissions today. We hope to see Alexandria become the region’s leader in climate smart healthcare with a LEED Platinum hospital at Landmark Center.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>How will this be impacting traffic on Duke, especially since it’s at the intersection of 395? It seems like an already congested section of the road will be increased.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>Why not have rooftop solar when the development is built rather than having it just “solar ready”.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>Is 3-1/2 acres of green space sufficient for 2400 apartment and condos. Suggest more green space to accommodate various recreational activities for a healthy environment.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2019</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>Will single family housing also be all electric?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>About how many “families” will be living in the new housing? I’m wondering if 100 charging spots will be enough.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>To what extent has the team investigated solutions being implemented by other hospital systems?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>So if Dominion doesn’t solve the problem, how do the developers plan to meet the 2035-2045 goals?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>this is all dependent on Dominion. What if they have problems with their business. EG are sued, a holding company buys them out or some other challenge occurs? Then Alexandria is left with a situation where they can not meet these goals.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>It seems like the redevelopment plans are still missing a golden sustainability opportunity by using the parking garage rooftop/roof over upper deck - e.g green roof, solar panels.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>As we plan for the sustainability of the whole campus, how is the health system currently or planning to work with other developers to ensure their developments also help advance our EAP goals?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>As someone who works with utilities, it is easier to supply carbon free energy if folks are being smarter in how they use energy.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LANDMARK REDEVELOPMENT
Community Comments/Questions
as of 05/04/2021

*PLEASE NOTE* The following is a documentation of all comments and questions from the public collected to date. Responses to community questions were either answered live during public engagement events, addressed on the Frequently Asked Questions section of the Landmark webpage at alexandriava.gov/Landmark, or will be addressed at an upcoming Advisory Group Meeting. Some questions are regarding detailed information that isn’t available yet at this early stage in the process. All meeting videos and recordings can also be found on the project webpage. Please contact the project manager, Ashley Labadie, at ashley.labadie@alexandriava.gov for any additional information.

Community Meeting Topics:
Jan. 4: General Information
Jan. 19: Overall Redevelopment Process, Plan Recommendations, Project Overview
Feb. 22: Land Use, Student Generation, Fire Station, Open Space
March 25: Affordable Housing, Transit Hub, Helipad
April 28: Traffic, Sustainability, Pedestrian and Bike Facilities
May 13th: Project Overview
May 24th: Outstanding Issues, Master Plan Amendments, Preparation for Public Hearings

Themes:
1 - Housing (affordable, senior, types) 6 - Helipad & Ambulances (location, access, flight path, noise)
2 - Transit 7 - Open Space/ Parks
3 - Traffic/ Access/ Road Improvements 8 - Sustainability
4 - Pedestrian/Bike Safety 9 - Hospital
5 - Parking 10 - Financial
6 - Schedule/ Meeting Info 16 - Architecture/ Building Heights/ Design
11 - Fire Station (access, trucks, noise) 17 - Redevelopment Process
12 - Art and Culture 18 - Land Use
13 - Schools 19 - Safety
14 - Equitable work opportunities 20 - Related to a different area/project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source/ Date</th>
<th>Comment/ Question</th>
<th>Real-time Staff Response</th>
<th>Advisory Group Meeting where Topic is Specifically Addressed</th>
<th>Primary Theme</th>
<th>Secondary Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 4/13/2021</td>
<td>How are bike path &amp; pedestrian connectivity between Landmark &amp; Stevenson Ave. being coordinated with the Landmark Overlook development proposal on south side of Duke St west of Walker?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>2/22/2019</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 4/13/2021</td>
<td>Many of us who live off of Van Dorn do use the ramp off of Duke and wish to make a left turn on to Van Dorn to get to our homes.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 4/13/2021</td>
<td>What are you saying re #4 intersection will we be able to make a left hand turn onto Van Dorn or not.</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 4/13/2021</td>
<td>Will this new transit hub lead to the closure of the Van Dorn Metro?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>EWLVD Adv. Group 4/13/2021</td>
<td>Does the Landmark redevelopment webpage have information on traffic counts on EB Duke St., specifically currently how many cars are coming off NB 395 onto EB Duke vs. cars coming from the other side of 395? And how many EB cars exit at VanDorn vs, continue EB Duke?</td>
<td>Addressed directly via webinar or email</td>
<td>4/28/2021</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is my first time providing feedback to the plans for redeveloping the Landmark area. I like the fact that the city is redeveloping this into a multiuse, green urban environment. I would like the city to take into consideration the following:

- The plan looks completely cutoff from the neighborhood on the other side of I-395. I know that this is obvious, but it still needs to be fixed, so that these residence have access without their cars. Please consider underpasses under the highway or overpass pedestrian/bikeway bridges to reach the site. In the NOVA area we seem to develop little pockets where communities can come together with mixed use space, and then we surround them by large road structures so that people are forced to drive to use them.

- We need to look more at how are neighborhoods can become more interconnected through walking and bike routes. Its great to see more connection with public transport - but its important to connect different neighborhood centers for pedestrians and bikes too.

- For a plan that is trying to be green and create space for people, we are still prioritizing our space for cars. We will continue to have a car/traffic problem in Alexandria if we continue to accommodate so many of them. I question the need for so much parking in the area and especially so much above ground parking. Do streets need to be adjacent to every bit of parks and green space that we have, and do buildings need roads for car access on all sides? If the public transit is done well, and its easy enough to get in and out of the area on foot or by bike, then so much parking should not be needed and can be prioritized. Try to keep cars underground and out of site. If a bikeway is too dangerous for a child to bike on due to shared use, its not good enough for a redevelopment and needs to be changed. We have many areas that have been redeveloped in Alexandria to provide green space and mixed use.. but they don't become lively. The PTO area of Alexandria is a good example of an area that you would expect to be more lively, and its not. Bottom floor commercial space should only contain businesses that bring in foot traffic. Offices, gyms, etc should occupy floors up higher.

- Pedestrian/bikeway bridges to reach the site. In the NOVA area we seem to develop little pockets where communities can come together with mixed use space, and then we surround them by large road structures so that people are forced to drive to use them. Please don't separate this space from green space with a road. All of the green spaces around the PTO are surrounded by wide roads - why is it necessary? Because we are building our spaces for car drivers and to hold cars instead of for people.

I didn't look closely enough at the parts of the plans with entrances for fire and ambulance traffic. I hope they have a separate way to reach their destinations away from the rest of the traffic. this will prevent the need for sirens and ensure that they have the speed to move in and out of the area easily. For the public park area, consider a water feature that is also a play/cooling off feature for the hot months of the year. Montreal and Quebec incorporate these all over their cities and provide needed cooling in the cities as our temperatures rise. Also consider the need for public restrooms. Too many of our public spaces do not have this and we have to rely on businesses. I recently had an experience in a strip mall where I had to go to 5 different stores with my young son to find one that would allow him to use the toilet.