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Background: Existing Conditions

- Arterial grid concentrates traffic on Van Dorn Street
- Duke Street, the City’s most important east-west arterial roadway
- Superblocks, no local grid
- Transit use 18% (commuters)
- Auto-dependent, pedestrian-hostile development pattern
Auto Dominated
City Transportation Master Plan

- Emphasis on choice, not on new roadways
- Three corridors with dedicated transit lanes
- Bicycle and pedestrian emphasis.
- Adopted April, 2008.
Transportation Master Plan Guiding Principles

Alexandria will:

- Develop innovative local and regional transit options.
- Provide quality pedestrian and bicycle accommodations.
- Provide all its citizens, regardless of age or ability, with accessibility and mobility.
- Increase the use of communications technology in transportation systems.
- Further transportation policies that support livable urban land use and encourage neighborhood preservation, in accordance with the City Council Strategic Plan.
- Lead the region in promoting environmentally friendly transportation policies.
- Ensure accessible, reliable and safe transportation for older and disabled citizens.

http://alexandriava.gov/tes/info/
Advisory Group Goals for Transportation (19 May)

- A more connected, urban grid system, with walkable blocks, to increase mobility for both pedestrians and vehicles.
- Increased transit ridership through reliable, convenient and coordinated transit services, with emphasis on effective transit service on Van Dorn Street between Landmark Mall and the Van Dorn Street Metro Station.
- Provide safe, convenient and attractive pedestrian and bicycle access to all transit nodes, centers and stations.
- Provide off-street, dedicated pedestrian and bicycle paths to connect transit, activity centers, neighborhoods, open space, and community facilities.
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Major Transportation Components of Framework Plan:

1. Grid of walkable local streets.
2. Primary and Secondary Transit Networks.
3. Transit center in or near West End Town Center.
4. Town Center access improvements.
5. Transformation of Van Dorn into a Multimodal Boulevard.
6. Multimodal bridge linking planning area to Metro.
7. Off street pedestrian and bicycle connections.
8. Transportation Demand Management and Right-Sized Parking.
1. Grid of walkable local streets.
2. Primary and Secondary Transit Networks.
3. Transit transfer center in or near West End Town Center.
4. Town Center access improvements.

5. Transformation of Van Dorn into a Multimodal Boulevard.

6. Multimodal bridge linking planning area to Metro.
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4. Town Center access improvements.

5. Transformation of Van Dorn into a Multimodal Boulevard.

**MAJOR TRANSIT STREETS AND BOULEVARDS**

- Provides transit services
- Typically edged by a mix of uses
- Often serves as the “Community’s Face” e.g. Connecticut Avenue, the 14th street corridor in DC, Canal street in New Orleans
4. **Town Center access improvements.**

5. **Transformation of Van Dorn into a Multimodal Boulevard.**

6. **Multimodal bridge linking planning area to Metro.**
7. Off street pedestrian and bicycle connections.

8. Transportation Demand Management and Right-Sized Parking.
Future Improvements

- Transit:
  - Bus or light rail on dedicated lanes or rail.
  - Smart shelters and stops
Transit: Primary Transit Network

- Bus or Light Rail Transit and Metro
- Prioritizes transit and supports City’s Transportation Plan
  - “Major Transit Streets and Boulevards”
- Characteristics of Well Performing PTN:
  - 16+ hours per day
  - 7 days per week
  - Frequent/No schedule needed
  - Serves as Metro-Mall Shuttle
  - Physical presence (stations and lanes)
  - Continuous customer information
Transit: Primary Transit Network
Transit: Primary Transit Network

Examples of Bus Rapid Transit and Light Rail Transit operating in dedicated lanes.
Transit Stop Amenities

Source: Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates
Transit: Secondary Transit Network

- Local routes and circulators
  - Complements PTN for overall effectiveness
  - “Major Residential Streets” and “Mixed Use Main Streets”
  - Supports walkability of local streets

- Characteristics
  - Scheduled to meet demand based on site/neighborhood land uses
Transit: Secondary Transit Network
Transit: Secondary Transit Network
Complete Pedestrian Network

- **Sidewalks**
  - 10’ minimum, including landscape zone, pedestrian zone, and building frontage

- **Crossings**

- **Crosswalks**
  - On all legs of all intersections
  - As short as possible
  - Aligned with sidewalks

- **Stop Lines**
  - At all controlled intersections.
  - Located at least 5 feet from the crosswalk

- **Medians**
  - No more than 3 lanes of pedestrian crossing w/o a refuge
  - At least six feet wide with eight feet preferred
Bicycles

Capture Area:
- Pedestrian capture is ~ 0.25-0.5 miles
- Bike capture is 1-3 miles

How can we facilitate biking?
- Bicycle routes/lanes
- Secure/protected bike parking
- Taking bikes on board transit
- Shower facilities at/near final destination.
  - Included in development codes for new office buildings

Existing Bicycle Parking at Van Dorn Metro

Bicycle Rack in Old Town
Transportation Demand Management

- Right Size Parking:
  - Tailor off-street parking requirements to encourage use of the multi-modal transportation system and reduce use of single occupancy vehicles, where feasible
  - Result of requiring fewer parking spaces can translate into available funding for desired amenities.
  - Manage on-street parking using price, time, and by context
  - Shared parking uses less land
  - Unbundling cost of parking
## Right Size Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical Tools</th>
<th>Typical Minimum Requirements</th>
<th>‘Tailored’ Minimum Requirements</th>
<th>Abolish Minimum Requirements</th>
<th>Set Maximum Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirement &gt; Average Demand</td>
<td>Adjust for:</td>
<td>Market decides</td>
<td>Limit parking to road capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hide all parking costs</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Garages funded by parking revenues</td>
<td>Manage on-street parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Manage on-street parking</td>
<td>Market rate fees encouraged/ required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>Residential pkg permits allowed by vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Park Once District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On-street spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Traffic       | High                       | Low                             |                               |                                    |
| Housing Costs | High                       | Low                             |                               |                                    |
| Pollution     | High                       | Low                             |                               |                                    |
Transportation Demand Management

- District-wide Transportation Management Plan
  - Would include all existing and future land uses in this Study Area
  - TMA Coordinator
  - On-going monitoring and evaluation
  - Effectiveness based on travel characteristics of residents and employees
  - Coordinator adjusts strategies based on results
  - Current City policy is to encourage TDM districts (recently implemented in the Braddock Road Plan)
Transportation Demand Management

- **TDM Tools and Strategies**
  - Broker parking to maximize utilization between uses
  - Create district-wide parking cash-out program
  - Bulk purchases of transit passes
  - Consolidate and operate circulators to coordinate schedules and maximize efficiency
  - Coordinate carpooling and Guaranteed Ride Home
  - Clearinghouse for travel information (transit, bike storage, carpool, Guaranteed Ride Home)
TMA Example

- Lloyd District TMA (Portland, OR)
  - 2015 Modal Share Targets:
    - 42% transit
    - 33% drive alone
    - 10% rideshare
    - 5% walk
    - 10% bike

- Major Programs:
  - LDTMA PASSport annual transit pass program
  - Commuter Connection Transportation Store
  - District bike locker program
  - District pedestrian infrastructure fund
  - Policy, advocacy, outreach, and educational events
Major Capital Projects of the Plan

- Dedicated Transit lanes on Duke and Van Dorn.
- Town Center Access Improvements
  - Duke Street Improvements
  - Possible direct connection to Interstate ramps
- Van Dorn Improvements.
- New multimodal bridge.
Possible Direct Connection to Interstate
Possible Direct Connection to Interstate
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Street System Performance

- Original 2030 Vision:

  - Improved transit options, an upgraded street grid and appropriate land uses will transform the area into a vibrant destination with traffic typical of a successful urban place.
Approach

Metro Washington Council of Governments Regional Travel Demand Model.

Landmark - Van Dorn Sub Area.
2030 With Existing Zoning and Street System
2030 Plan and BRT/LRT

Micro-simulation of Study Area.
Figure 1-1 Modeled area: 2,191 TAZ, 22 jurisdictions
Growth in Vehicular Trips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Through</th>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008 Base</td>
<td>110,731</td>
<td>99,575</td>
<td>210,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 Without</td>
<td>113,366</td>
<td>135,651</td>
<td>249,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 With</td>
<td>96,726</td>
<td>191,379</td>
<td>288,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Change in Through Vehicular Trips

- 2008 Base: 110,371
- 2030 Without: 113,366
- 2030 With: 96,726

Change: 16,640
Transit Share

- Increase in Commuter Transit Share:
  - 2008 Base: 19%
  - 2030 Current Zoning: 20%
  - 2030 Plan: 27%
## Duke Street Arterial Analysis
### PM Peak Hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>2030 Current</th>
<th>2030 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time EB</td>
<td>3:24</td>
<td>4:57</td>
<td>5:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time WB</td>
<td>2:14</td>
<td>2:16</td>
<td>3:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS EB</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS WB</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September 15, 2008
## Van Dorn Street Arterial Analysis
### PM Peak Hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>2030 Current Zoning</th>
<th>2030 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time NB</td>
<td>3:26</td>
<td>4:21</td>
<td>4:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time SB</td>
<td>4:08</td>
<td>4:58</td>
<td>7:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS NB</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS SB</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September 15, 2008
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>2030 Current Zoning</th>
<th>2030 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5,016</td>
<td>6,189</td>
<td>6,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Speed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Delay</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Cap %</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Van Dorn and Pickett PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>2030 Current Zoning</th>
<th>2030 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>4,412</td>
<td>4,343</td>
<td>4,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Speed</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Delay</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intersection Cap %</strong></td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Findings: 2030 Plan

- Volumes will be 16% greater for the Plan than for Existing Zoning.
- Through traffic will be reduced by over 16,000 vehicle trips.
- Transit will increase from 19% to 27%.
- Arterial average speeds decrease, however on both Duke and Van Dorn traffic continues to move.
- Only a few intersections have delays over 120 seconds.
Conclusions:

- With an increase in area trips, congestion will increase throughout the transportation network.
- A reduction in through trips and an increase in Transit and HOV and TDM measures reduce the impact.
- The forecasted system performance will be typical of that in successful urban areas.