

**Summary Minutes**  
**ALEXANDRIA WATERFRONT COMMISSION**  
**Thursday, November 19, 2013**  
**Alexandria City Hall, Sister Cities Conference Room**  
**7:30 A.M.**

**Members**

***Present:***

Dennis Auld, Citizen Park Planning District II  
Gina Baum, Alexandria Park and Recreation Commission  
Christine Bernstein, Founders Park Community Association (FPCA)  
Suzanne Bethel, Old Town Business and Professional Association (OTBPA)  
John Bordner, Citizen West of Washington St.  
Stewart Dunn, Alexandria Planning Commission  
Doug Gosnell, Alexandria Marina pleasure boat leaseholder  
Charlotte Hall, Alexandria Chamber of Commerce and Chair  
Jacob Hoogland, Alexandria Archaeological Commission  
Mari Lou Livingood, Alexandria Seaport Foundation  
Jody Manor, Alexandria Convention and Visitors Association (ACVA)  
Stephen Mutty, Citizen Park Planning District I  
Paul Smedberg, Member, Alexandria City Council  
David Speck, Citizen Park Planning District III  
Christa Watters, Citizen East of Washington St. and North of Pendleton St.  
Ryan Wojtanowski, Alexandria Environmental Policy Commission

***Excused:***

Townsend A. (Van) Van Fleet, Old Town Civic Association

***Absent:***

Morgan Delaney, Historic Alexandria Foundation

***Vacancies:***

Citizen, East of Washington St., North of King St.  
Representative, Alexandria Commission for the Arts

**City Staff**

Jack Browand, Division Chief, Commission Staff Liaison, Recreation, Parks, and Cultural Activities (RPCA)  
Battalion Chief Michael Cross, Alexandria Fire Department (AFD)  
Anthony Gammon, Civil Engineer, Department of Project Implementation (DPI)  
Faroll Hamer, Director, Planning & Zoning (P&Z)  
Lt. Don Hayes, Alexandria Police Department (APD)  
James Hixon, Dockmaster, RPCA  
Mark Jinks, Deputy City Manager  
Sandra Marks, Division Chief, Transportation & Environmental Services (TES)  
Karl Moritz, Deputy Director, P&Z  
Iris Portny, Commission Recording Secretary, RPCA  
Diane Ruggiero, Deputy Director, Office of the Arts, RPCA  
Nancy Williams, Principal Planner, P&Z

**Guests:**

Will Belcher, The Olin Studio  
Patrick Bores, citizen  
Yvonne Weight Callahan, President, Old Town Civic Association (OTCA)  
Leigh Ann Campbell, The Olin Studio  
Dennis Carmichael, Parker Rodriguez Inc.  
Cathleen Curtin, Friends of Founders Dog Park  
Bert Ely, citizen

Frank Fannon, citizen  
Austin Flajser, President, Carr Hospitality  
Dene Garbow, Dene Garbow, Harborside Community Association, and Alexandria Art  
and History Waterfront Implementation Committee  
Mel Garbow, Harborside Community Association  
Skip Graffam, The Olin Studio  
Harry Harrington, Old Dominion Boat Club (ODBC)  
Bud Hart, HCGK, ODBC  
Michael Hobbs, citizen  
Beal Lowen, citizen  
Craig McClure, Parker Rodriguez Inc.  
Ruth McKenty, Alexandria Beautification Commission  
Kathryn Papp, citizen  
Joanne Platt, citizen  
Nate Macek, citizen  
Ted Pullian, Alexandria Art & History Waterfront Implementation Committee  
Skip Graffen, The Olin Studio  
Maria Ross, Dandy Cruises  
Jen Strauss, UDAC  
Steve Thayer, citizen  
Ken Wire, McGuire Woods, counsel to Carr Hospitality  
Mike Young, Olde Towne Gemstones

**Welcome and Introductions**

Hall called the meeting to order at 7:30 A.M. and said that, due to the unusually full agenda, a decision would be made at 9:30 A.M., the Commission’s usual adjournment time, on whether to continue and which remaining agenda items could be covered in the time remaining. She commended owner Jody Manor on the opening of the new Waterfront Market & Cafe and said the Commission looks forward to further such openings.

**Waterfront Commission Special Meeting on ODBC**

(Note: The Waterfront Commission’s letter on this matter as voted on today is posted to the City’s website)

Hall said the Commission would discuss a recommendation to City Council (Council) as a follow up to the Commission’s special meeting November 7, 2013 at which City and ODBC representatives presented information about their long-time dispute about how the City might acquire the ODBC parking lot so the City can implement the public plaza planned by the Waterfront Plan. She said the recommendation agreed upon would be submitted to Council for its Public Hearing tonight on this same matter.

Hall gave Commissioners a working draft letter to Council to use as a basis for the Commission’s discussion and said it reflected Commissioners’ comments during the previous discussion, including those from Van Fleet who could not attend this meeting.

**Discussion:**

Speck said he had to leave the discussion early due to a personal commitment then went on to say the Commission’s goal should be to support a process likely to produce an outcome rather than a specific position that might be reached during further possible negotiations between the City and ODBC. He said a key to any successful negotiation is for both parties to feel like equal participants and aim to have an outcome that leaves neither party feeling as winner or loser.

Bordner proposed that in light of upcoming holidays the draft language be changed to read “That a time limit of 90 days after commencement be placed on these negotiations” and Commissioners agreed. Dunn said a 120-day time limit might be preferable to 90 days but deferred to Bernstein and other Commissioners who said they worried that having too extended a time period might encourage further delays. Auld said he supported the draft language with Bordner’s edit and he thought the two parties should discuss the best approach to be followed within the 90 day period. He said he also hoped that within that 90-day period the City would be in a position to advise the Commission of what is likely to occur regarding development choices about Robinson Terminal North (RTN) and Robinson Terminal South (RTS) so the Commission can view developments related to the ODBC parking lot area within that broader context.

Watters said she thought the parties’ positions as described at the Commission’s November 7, 2013 meeting were close enough to offer a chance at a negotiated compromise agreement and said adding someone to the negotiations with fresh eyes and an outside perspective, such as a member of the Olin design team, might increase the chances for success. She said the fact that the Commission supported the Waterfront Plan and that Plan includes as a key element the proposed Fitzgerald Park at the current site of the ODBC parking lot which makes it additionally important for the Commission to help facilitate a satisfactory outcome to the dispute that can generally satisfy both parties and provide an equitable outcome. Hall said she had planned to propose Speck as a Commission mediator and several Commissioners agreed his experience suited him to the task. Speck said that after having been on the ODBC membership waiting list for over seven years he had been offered membership a few months earlier and had asked instead to be kept on the waiting list to avoid any potential conflict of interest issue.

After discussion, there was a Commission consensus that hiring an outside mediator would avoid any potential perception that the mediator had a Waterfront-related conflict of interest. Hoogland offered to recommend some candidates for the task. Dunn proposed deleting the draft letter’s penultimate paragraph that begins “The Waterfront Commission further advises.....” since it raises a controversy that the letter does not need to address. Baum said she supported the draft letter’s focus on process but asked whether the draft implied that a compromise would let the ODBC parking lot remain at the bottom of King Street in some form and, if so, questioned whether this would undermine the Waterfront Plan’s goal of creating a world-class Waterfront. Commissioners changed “constructive compromise” to “constructive solution.”

Mutty asked if a participant in earlier negotiations could explain why having a mediated negotiation now might be more successful than the summer 2010 mediated effort that failed. Jinks said the last time mediation had been tried the then-ongoing federal lawsuit had been a major influence and with the lawsuit now resolved this is no longer the case.

Bernstein asked if the report issued by the City’s Alexandria Waterfront Plan Work Group (WPWG) two years earlier, after several months of briefings and discussions about the then-draft Waterfront Plan, offered ideas that might be helpful in resolving the City-ODBC dispute. Commissioners asked Nate Macek, former Commission chair and the Commission’s representative on the WPWG in 2011, what the report’s position was regarding the Waterfront Plan’s vision for the foot of King Street.

Macek said the majority position of the WPWG was that “the long term destiny for that site” was that the Fitzgerald Square concept should be implemented, including the public square and the pier off the foot of King Street, but that in the interim it might not be possible to achieve. He said the WPWG had, because of this, recommended that the parties work to create a negotiated interim use of the site that could facilitate both the objectives of providing ODBC parking and of providing public access to the Waterfront. Ely said that, as a WPWG member, he had opposed the City’s ever taking the parking lot so that a public plaza or pier could be created at this location.

Speck said all agree that the dispute cannot continue on for more years without resolution. He said the draft letter says that because we are close to some sort of resolution, if both parties were to return to the discussions, a successful outcome is possible if neither side aims to be the negotiations' "winner". Fox said ODBC had looked at several potential Waterfront locations for relocating its parking lot but the City had not approved them. Baum said the parties' goal should be to look forward rather than rehash the past and said a possibility might develop in which the City's Beachcombers property might become available. Gosnell said it should be recognized that if the City were to invoke eminent domain there would likely be a lengthy legal fight over whether the City has the right to do so for this purpose and that if eminent domain were approved by the courts the eventual price set by the court would likely be expensive.

Bernstein asked if it is appropriate for the Commission to recommend to Council that the parties engage in "confidential" negotiations that would not be open to the public since the WPWG meetings had been open to the public. Dunn said the WPWG was a public entity but that this letter refers to City discussions with a private property owner.

Bordner wanted to keep the language to avoid having eminent domain hanging over the negotiators' heads. Wojtanowski said the letter should focus on issues about which there is a consensus and concentrate on conveying a positive tone. Fox asked if the letter would, without referring to eminent domain, imply that it is appropriate to invoke eminent domain during the 90-day negotiation period. Dunn said that it was reasonable to assume that the City would not invoke eminent domain during the 90-day period because to do so would break off the negotiations. Bernstein said the letter as drafted focuses on a very positive process but she asked if the Commission has a role to play in regard to the City's consideration of whether and when to invoke eminent domain and whether the letter's recommendations might go beyond those issues about which the Waterfront Commission is authorized to advise the Council.

Smedberg said he thought it was appropriate for the Commission to recommend bringing into the process an independent third party as a mediator and agreed with Dunn that it would be inappropriate for the public to be invited into the negotiating process as observers.

Mutty asked if would be appropriate to recommend the parties agree immediately to binding arbitration if no agreement is reached within a 90-day period. Auld said he thought binding arbitration might be too specific for either side to accept. Fox said the word "mediate" should be changed to "facilitate" (in bullet 1) and he did not think either party to the negotiation would agree to binding arbitration. Hoogland and other Commissioners said it was important to include a trained mediator in the process. There was a Commission consensus that the letter should not specifically endorse an active role for the Commission within the negotiating process.

**Motion:** Dunn moved and Bordner seconded that the Commission approve the draft letter as modified.

The motion passed with 13 votes in support; 2 opposed (Bethel and Baum); and 1 abstention (Smedberg).

Hall said the letter would be sent to Council that day and Commissioners agreed she should read the letter at the Council meeting that evening. Staff said the Commission's letter to Council would be posted to the City website that afternoon.

In response to Auld's question why the ODBC information presented at the Commission's November 7, 2013 meeting had not been posted to the City website, Browand said ODBC had refused staff's request that they provide the information for posting to the City website.

Harry Harrington, former ODBC officer, said he would check with ODBC that day to see if the information could be provided to the City for its website. Harrington said he was concerned that imposing a 90-day limit on the negotiations might encourage the City to delay until the 90-day period had run out without reaching a negotiated settlement but he commended the Commission for its effort to encourage a negotiated settlement.

### **Carr Development (220 S. Union St.) Subcommittee Report**

(Note: The Waterfront Commission's letter regarding this matter as voted on today is included on the Waterfront Commission's website)

Hall read the draft letter to Council summarizing the subcommittee's finding regarding Carr Hospitality's plans for a boutique hotel at 220 S. Union Street.

*At the Alexandria Waterfront Commission's meeting on October 15, 2013, the Commission established a small committee to review issues related to the Carr hotel development concept for the 220 South Union Street site and to draft a committee position to be forwarded to the full Commission for action at its next regular meeting (November 19, 2013). The sub-committee met on October 23, 2013 and reviewed the plans for the Carr Hotel to be located between Duke and Prince Streets, known as the Cummings-Turner block.*

*1. The committee reviewed the six Development Goals within the Alexandria Waterfront Small Area Plan and believed that the plan presented for the Cummings/Turner block was in line with the Goals, and satisfactory.*

*2. The next step was to review the Development Guidelines. The committee reviewed each of the 14 Guidelines at length, in conjunction with the proposed plan and found that the plan conformed in every way.*

#### ***Special Notes that were taken:***

*A. Guideline #5 – Detail to the confirmation of Public alleys.*

*B. Guideline #6 – The wording of 18th Century Alexandria warehouse architecture should be changed to 19th Century architecture.*

*C. Guideline #7 – Redevelopment of any portion of the block should fit in with the "Olin concept".*

*D. Guideline #9 – Contribute significantly to the public amenities in the new park – attention needs to be focused on the redevelopment and care of properties across Strand.*

*In total, this sub-committee believes that the proposed plan is following the Guidelines as proposed in the Alexandria Waterfront Small Area Plan.*

Dunn said he did not disagree with the Carr Development Subcommittee's statements but could not agree with the Carr subcommittee's conclusions because the report did not address the concern that had prompted him to propose, at the Commission's October 15, 2013 meeting, that the Commission create the Carr Development Subcommittee to review the Carr plans.

Dunn said his main concern had been whether it would be constructive to approve the Carr development proposal at this time before development choices about Robinson Terminal South (RTS) and Robinson Terminal North (RTN) are known and because he considers the Carr proposal to have a number of shortcomings. Dunn said he thought it premature for the Commission to make a decision on the Carr

Hospitality proposal and the Commission's letter to Council conveying its review of the Carr proposal should be delayed. He said that although the Carr development is probably not the most important of the five or six "moving parts" related to implementation of the Waterfront Plan that are moving ahead more or less simultaneously, the City's decision about the Carr hotel will be crucial because it is the first to be decided upon. He said the City's decision on the Carr hotel will set the tone for the other City choices that follow.

Dunn said he thought the proposed architecture raises concerns, even though Carr has said they would design the hotel in a manner that reflects the majority of stakeholder preferences. He said the conventional hotel being proposed is not what the City needs here and those members of the BAR who had commented on the proposed architecture had opposed it and raised concerns about its mass and scale, even though the BAR did not vote on it. Baum said she understood Dunn's concerns but Carr had been working on their proposal for a long time and delays in the approval process add to the expense of the project. She said choices about another development, such as RTS and RTN, should not delay City action on the Carr proposal. Manor said the Commission's Carr Development Subcommittee had been told to evaluate the proposal based on the Waterfront Plan's guidelines.

Bordner said the City process should be more business-friendly and he thought Commissioners had addressed the need for the Carr development to relate to neighboring developments when they had said the Carr property designers should consider designs for neighboring development sites as that information becomes available. Bernstein said it is especially critical for the City's review process to ensure personal property rights if a purchaser is adhering to the City's development guidelines.

Auld said it would be helpful to know the outcome of the RTN and RTS due diligence reviews as the City considers Carr Hospitality's application for a Special Use Permit. Hamer said it is unlikely that plans for the RTN and RTS sites will be known by January 2014, the time by which Carr hopes to have a City decision on their SUP application.

Wire said Carr Hospitality was excited by the site when it bought it two years earlier and remains so. He said that even though Carr does not know what choices will be made for RTN and RTS, Carr's design choices comply with the City's design guidelines in the Waterfront Plan. He said Carr's timeline for deciding on whether to go forward with the project extends perhaps another few months, but Carr is unlikely to remain interested if a decision on the project were to be delayed as long as a year. Wire said Carr Hospitality welcomes Commission comments on the proposed hotel's architecture even though the Commission has no specific authority over architectural decisions. He briefly reviewed the hotel's planned Strand and Union Street elevations and compared it to the Waterfront Plan's model.

Dunn said with regard to property rights, the applicant has a right to apply for a Special Use Permit (SUP) but it is up to the Planning Commission and Council to decide whether this is the appropriate time to approve that SUP. Dunn said other issues needing consideration include whether the entrances should be on Duke or Union Street and how the hotel's design is likely to affect the whole neighborhood. Watters said the Commission should restrict its review to the question of whether Carr Hospitality's design is consistent with the Waterfront Plan guidelines and leave it to the Planning Commission and BAR to evaluate its architecture and mass.

**Motion:** Watters moved and Wojtanowski seconded that the Commission accept the Carr Development Subcommittee's report reviewing the Carr proposal and forward it to City Council as approved on November 19, 2013.

Mutty said he thought the Commission should also pay attention to several additional issues: (1) the hotel's Union Street elevation and cut-in and the developer's need to pay attention to potential traffic

clogging on Union Street; and (2) for the hotel's loading dock area planned for its frontage on historic lower Duke Street developer should pay special attention to the trash area's maintenance and operation. Bernstein said maintenance of the loading dock areas is a continuing issue with Waterfront area restaurants but not one addressed by the Waterfront Plan.

The motion passed with 13 supporting, 1 opposed (Dunn) and 2 abstentions (Muttu, due to a business conflict, and Smedberg)

**Olin Studio: Plan Analysis, Art, History & Lighting**

*Note: Olin's full presentation "Implementation of the Waterfront Small Area Plan" is posted to the City website.*

Skip Graffam gave an overview of work completed to date by the Olin landscape design team, in conjunction with URS Corporation on flood mitigation for the Waterfront. He began by reviewing, again, the six tasks on their Work Program schedule and provided highlights of the comments received at the first two Community Meetings that have been held to-date and received through online comments, and through stakeholder meetings relative programming, art, history, and lighting. He also noted that the Olin team has completed their initial feasibility analysis of the Waterfront Plan (task 2 on their Work Program) examining the technical aspects and costs relating to implementation of the Waterfront Plan's various elements and provided highlights of that too to the City and at Community Meetings. He said the design Work Program includes several additional meetings with the Commission and the public with the intent of bringing the landscape and flood mitigation design to 15-30% completion by June 2014 as planned. Graffam said the Olin design team will integrate information about the Robinson Terminal North and Robinson Terminal South design elements into their plan when they become available. Graffam said the Olin team will be prepared to go more deeply into the conceptual design elements at its next meeting with the Commission and the public. but offered the following update based on community input received thus far.

***November 4, 2013 community meeting discussing programming and art and history elements of the design***

Graffam said the public meeting reviewed the Waterfront Plan's major elements impacting these features. He said 112 responses were received, both at the meeting and via the website, and the specificity and thoughtfulness of the feedback has been very helpful.

Graffam said major design takeaways from the public feedback include confirmation of the Waterfront Plan's proposed programmatic elements for activities, uses and design scale to be uniquely Alexandrian; and for City history to be revealed at its actual physical locations in a way that makes the historic thematic zones in the Plan more prominent along the Waterfront. Graffam said major public interests included preserving views north and south from along the river, preserving the connection to nature, enhancing ecological and sustainable systems, incorporating art and history into the activities and design elements, providing public restrooms, and the importance of having activities whose scale and type is appropriate to the character of the different areas along Alexandria's Waterfront. He highlighted

Graffam mentioned types of public elements that might be appropriate for the Robinson Terminal piers recognizing that these sites will be an important place to bring the public out onto the water. He said possible elements include seating, a public café, restrooms, and more. Graffam said a number of public comments for the Robinson Terminal South pier have included having outdoor classes such as drawing and fitness, children's play spaces that incorporate the maritime experience, non-motorized boat access, and Seaport Foundation activities.

*Graffam asked Commissioners:* Are we headed in the right direction? Do we have things generally in the right place? Have we missed anything related to programming and implementation of the arts/history elements?

***Commission comments***

In response to Gosnell’s question about the cost of developing and maintaining the programs and activities suggested, Graffam said the Olin team will provide cost estimates, including those for operation and maintenance (Olin) as part of their proposal.

***Public-private partnerships***

Manor, Baum, and Livingood said all three questions had been well covered. Livingood said it is important to include how to ensure public access to the Waterfront. Bethel asked that the report include the names of entities currently responsible for providing arts programming, including her own organization the Art League, and said the idea of the City partnering with existing organizations’ activities should be included. Bernstein said public-private partnerships should be emphasized as a way to make the ideas more affordable.

Mutty and Auld asked that the problem of Waterfront debris’ impact on the Waterfront’s aesthetic be noted. Auld said the design plan should realistically anticipate how areas will be used to minimize the need later to redesign an area in response to how the public uses it.

Watters said it’s important for the design elements and activities recommended to reflect the City’s limited operations and maintenance (O&M) budget and asked that the role of public/private partnerships to fund Waterfront O&M be discussed.

Hoogland said Graffam’s overview accurately reflected public comments about history and the arts at the November 4, 2013 public meeting. Wojtanowski said the Environmental Policy Commission’s focus: on ‘reduce, reuse, refurbish, recycle’ should be included within the discussion of the environmental issues.

Wojtanowski asked if the City had asked the Olin team for ideas about how the design at the foot of King Street might be modified in a way that reflects the current realities of how the ODBC parking lot issue might affect the Fitzgerald Park plan but still preserves the Waterfront Plan goals of creating a public hub at this location and ensuring a continuous public promenade along the Waterfront. Graffam said the Olin design team’s focus has been on the Waterfront Plan’s existing elements.

In response to Fox’s question why the pier is shown at Fitzgerald Park since it may not be possible, Graffam said the Olin design team their team includes marine engineers who understand how the pier could be feasible from a regulatory and design perspective. Hamer said the City has received federal permission to put a pier within the river’s federal channel.

Bernstein said it is important to ensure that art and history design elements are appropriate to their proposed locations and that Founders Park’s use as a passive recreation space should be preserved.

**Approval of Minutes from October 15, 2013 & October 17, 2013 meetings**

*Deferred until the next meeting.*

**Development Updates/Agency Reports**

***November 2013 Project Matrix Review***

Williams referred Commissioners to the Matrix for status updates of ongoing projects.

***General Services (GS)***

***Beachcombers RFP.*** Browand said, on behalf of General Services, the City had hired a firm with experience in restaurants and public/private partnerships to evaluate the viability of the business models used in three proposals received by the City for the site.

Smedberg said one of his concerns about the Beachcombers was whether the type of group likely to be able to afford the sizable investment needed to bring the Beachcombers building up to code might not be appropriate for this location. Hamer said those questions had not yet been analyzed. Smedberg said the City should have dropped its RFP when no proposals were received in response to issuance of the RFP.

***Planning & Zoning***

***Torpedo Factory Food Pavilion***

Williams said the application to locate a proposed Blackwall Hitch restaurant at the Torpedo Factory Food Pavilion site is scheduled for Planning Commission consideration on December 3, 2013 and, if approved, for Council consideration on December 14, 2013. Hall said it is important for Commissioners, their constituencies, and City residents who support the proposal to convey their support to Council.

***Waterfront Market***

Manor said his restaurant was scheduled to open on Tuesday, November 14, 2013.

***Project Implementation - No report.***

***Public Safety – Police & Fire***

***Alexandria Police Department (APD)***

Hayes reported that the APD investigation of the Safeway armed robbery the previous Sunday is ongoing.

***Alexandria Fire Department (AFD)***

Cross said AFD had responded to a minor fire at Marina Towers. He reported that the City had returned its new fireboat to the manufacturer for servicing for a variety of minor issues covered under warranty. He said the City expects work to be finished by January 2014. In response to Wojtanowski's question, he said that the City had not yet ordered spare parts to be made for the fireboat's custom-engineered pieces because the \$30,000 funding needed is not available. Hall said the Commission is ready to support a Fire Department request for City funding to purchase the custom spare parts and urged AFD to do so.

***Recreation, Parks and Cultural Activities***

Browand reported that the Marina Facilities Condition Assessment report had been posted to the City Marina website and the City has begun implementing its recommendations. He said repairs on the Flexi-pave trails in Founders Park are moving forward.

***Transportation & Environmental Services***

***Pay-by-phone parking***

Marks reported that pay-by-phone for on-street metered parking spaces will be implemented citywide in mid-December 2013, referred Commissioners to the memo provided as part of their meeting packets, and said information will be posted to the City website.

***100 block of King Street***

Marks said the study reviewing potential implications of closing the 100 block of King Street to vehicles is expected to begin in early 2014 and will be coordinated with the study of the unit block of King Street and other related ongoing activities. She said staff will consult with the Commission on both of these efforts as they proceed.

***Unit block of King Street -***

Marks said concepts will be available to be presented at the next Commission meeting so that staff can receive Commission input.

**Reports from Commissioners**

*Northern Virginia Regional Commission (NVRC) Water Taxi discussion*

Smedberg said the Commission should be briefed in early 2014 about the Commuter Ferry Market Analysis of the economic viability of regional commuter water taxis that was presented at NVRC's October 24, 2013 meeting. He said he had also asked staff to provide this information to Council as soon as possible. Smedberg said the plans being considered would, if implemented, have a direct impact on the City's Waterfront area.

**Art & History Committee Update**

Hall said the report from the City's Waterfront Art and History Committee would be rescheduled for the Commission's next meeting due to the late hour and apologized to the Committee's representatives who had been prepared to present a briefing.

**Announcements / Public Comments - None.**

**Adjournment**

*The meeting adjourned at 10:05 A.M.*