Implementation of Transitway Corridor C (Van Dorn / Beauregard)

Recommendation by High Capacity Transit Corridor Work Group, May 19, 2011
The following motion was passed by the High Capacity Transit Corridor Work Group (CWG) at its May 19, 2011 meeting, regarding transit in Corridor C:

Alternative D is the preferred alternative for phased implementation of transit in dedicated lanes in Corridor C until such time as Alternative G becomes feasible and can be implemented. This course of action is consistent with the Council’s recent decision to provide dedicated lane transit along the segment of Corridor A that is north of Braddock Road. Evaluation and analysis will continue of Alternative D in preparation for future implementation of Alternative G. Construction of transit in Corridor C shall be the first priority of Alexandria’s transportation projects. Each subsequent corridor shall be evaluated separately regarding the need to acquire additional right-of-way for dedicated lanes as discussed in the Transportation Master Plan.

Recommendation by Transportation Commission, September 7, 2011
At the September 7, 2011 Transportation Commission meeting, a public hearing was held on the recommendation made by the CWG for the implementation of Corridor C. The following motion was moved, seconded and unanimously approved by the Transportation Commission:

The Transportation Commission recommends that the City Council adopt the recommendation of the CWG for Corridor C, with two caveats:

1) The alignment be optimized to better serve the Northern Virginia Community College (NVCC), and;
2) Recommend that the Transportation Commission be tasked to identify decision criteria, evaluate and monitor the transition from Alternative D (Bus Rapid Transit in dedicated lanes) to Alternative G (Streetcar in dedicated lanes), and periodically report the progress to the City Council.

Recommendation by Planning Commission, September 8, 2011
At the September 8, 2011 Planning Commission meeting, a public hearing was held on the recommendation made by the CWG for the implementation of Corridor C. The following motion was moved, seconded and unanimously approved by the Planning Commission:

The Planning Commission reaffirmed support for transit in Corridor C on an expedited basis and believes that there should be bus rapid transit running in dedicated lanes. The Commission had insufficient information on the non-transportation planning elements to form any further judgment.