1. Announcement of deferrals and withdrawals.

2. Approval of the September 25, 2017 Traffic and Parking Board meeting minutes.

3. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

   **PUBLIC HEARING:**

4. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a request to place a temporary taxicab stand on the 100 block of Cameron Street.

5. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a request to remove three parking spaces on the east side of Potomac Greens Drive, south of Catts Tavern Drive

6. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a request to implement the residential pay by phone program on the following blocks:
   - 100 block of Duke Street
   - 200 block of S. Lee Street
   - 300 block of S. Lee Street

**STAFF REPORTS AND UPDATES:**

   Commercial Parking Standards Study

   City of Alexandria Truck Restriction Policy
CITY OF ALEXANDRIA
TRAFFIC AND PARKING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING
MONDAY SEPTEMBER 25, 2017 7:30 P.M.
301 KING STREET, 2nd FLOOR
ROOM 2000

M I N U T E S

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman, Jay Johnson, Vice Chair, William Schuyler, James Lewis, Kevin Beekman, Ann Tucker and Casey Kane.

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Randy Cole.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Matt Melkerson, Acting Deputy Director, Bob Garbacz, Division Chief, Lisa Jaatinen, Acting Division Chief, Christopher Ziemann, Division Chief, Ray Hayhurst, Complete Street Coordinator, Wayne Lightfoot, Civil Engineer III and Cuong Nguyen, Civil Engineer I.

1. Announcement of deferrals and withdrawals:
   a. Mr. Schuyler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Lewis to defer Item 6 until the questions asked by the Board at the July 24, 2017 Traffic and Parking Board meeting were answered. None of these questions were answered in the docket under consideration. The motion carried with Jay Johnson, James Lewis, Ann Tucker, and William Schuyler voting in favor of the motion; and Casey Kane and Kevin Beekman voting in opposition.
   b. Ms. Tucker made a motion, seconded by Mr. Schuyler to defer Item 8 until staff could reach out to those affected and answer their questions to bring greater clarity to the proposed change. The motion carried with Jay Johnson, James Lewis, Ann Tucker, Casey Kane and William Schuyler voting in favor of the motion; and Kevin Beekman voting in opposition.

2. Approval of the July 24, 2017 Traffic and Parking Board meeting minutes: Mr. Beekman made a motion, seconded by Mr. Lewis to approve the minutes of the July 24, 2017 meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS: No one from the public spoke.

PUBLIC HEARING:

4. ISSUE: Consideration of a request to remove the No Parking restrictions on the Northside of the 500 block of Bashford Lane between the two driveways of the Foreign Car Service repair shop.

   DISCUSSION: Mr. Garbacz presented the item to the Board.

   PUBLIC TESTIMONY: No one from the public spoke.
BOARD ACTION: Mr. Beekman made a motion, seconded by Mr. Kane to approve the request to remove the No Parking restrictions on the Northside of the 500 block of Bashford Lane between the two driveways of the Foreign Car Service repair shop. The motion carried unanimously.

5. ISSUE: Consideration of a request to add 3 hour parking restrictions from 9AM-5PM Monday-Friday to the south side of the 200 block of Commerce Street

DISCUSSION: Ms. North presented the item to the Board.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY: Mr. H. (Skip) Maginniss opposed the request. Ms. Danielle Romente spoke in favor of the request.

BOARD ACTION: Mr. Kane made a motion, seconded by Ms. Tucker to approve the request to add 3 hour parking restrictions from 9AM-5PM Monday-Friday to the south side of the 200 block of Commerce Street. The motion carried unanimously.

6. ISSUE: Consideration of a request to:
   1. Approve the installation of two (2) Capital Bikeshare stations
   2. Remove two parking spaces at the proposed station location on the 700 block of Green Street

DISCUSSION: Item was deferred.

7. ISSUE: Consideration of a request to change the color scheme of VIP taxicabs.

DISCUSSION: Mr. Garbacz presented the item to the Board. The Board inquired about granting staff the authority to approve these requests and Mr. Garbacz indicated that the Code required Board action and that he would follow up with the City Attorney’s Office.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY: Zarie Karimian, owner of VIP Cab, spoke in favor of the request.

BOARD ACTION: Mr. Beekman made a motion, seconded by Mr. Lewis to approve the request to change the color scheme of VIP taxicabs. The motion carried unanimously.

8. ISSUE: Consideration of a request to implement the residential pay by phone program on the following blocks:
   - 100 block of Duke Street
   - 200 block of S. Lee Street
   - 300 block of S. Lee Street

DISCUSSION: Item was deferred.
9. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a request to remove parking on the 2900 block of N. Hampton Drive at the following locations:
   1. Hampton Drive and Kirkpatrick Lane – 2 spaces, northbound curb
   2. Hampton Drive and Hunton Place – 3 spaces, northbound curb

**DISCUSSION:** Ms. North presented the item to the Board.

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY:** Len Horning spoke in favor of the request.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Kane made a motion, seconded by Mr. Beekman to approve the request to remove parking on the 2900 block of N. Hampton Drive at the following locations:
   Hampton Drive and Kirkpatrick Lane – 2 spaces, northbound curb
   Hampton Drive and Hunton Place – 3 spaces, northbound curb
The motion carried unanimously.

10. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a request to add a two space loading zone at 710 Wythe Street between the hours of 7:00 AM – 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM – 6:00 PM weekdays.

**DISCUSSION:** Mr. Garbacz presented the item to the Board.

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY:** Walter Marlo and Carmen Marlo spoke in opposition to the request.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Lewis made a motion, seconded by Mr. Schuyler to add a loading zone at 600 block of N. Washington between the hours of 7:00 AM- 9:00 AM, and a loading zone at 710 Wythe Street between the hours of 4:00PM-6:00PM for six months and for the Board to send a letter to Planning and Zoning asking for their help to solve the daycare’s loading problem as well as express the Boards displeasure to Planning and Zoning for creating these types of issues. The motion carried unanimously.

11. **ISSUE:** Consideration of a proposed road closure on Montgomery Street between North Saint Asaph Street and North Pitt Street to remain in place until approximately October of 2018.

**DISCUSSION:** Mr. Garbacz, Ms. Jaatinen, and Mr. Lightfoot presented the item to the Board. The Board expressed concern that the public outreach effort on this issue was lacking.

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY:** Ms. Ann Wheaton opposed the request.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Lewis made a motion, seconded by Mr. Kane to approve the request for the proposed road closure on Montgomery Street between North Saint Asaph Street and North Pitt Street to remain in place until approximately October of 2018 with condition of expanding public outreach to inform impacted residents and businesses when the construction will occur. The motion carried unanimously.
STAFF REPORTS AND UPDATES:
King Street Complete Streets Evaluation
MEMORANDUM

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2017
TO: MEMBERS OF THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BOARD
FROM: T&ES STAFF
SUBJECT: DOCKET ITEM # 4

______________________________________________________________

ISSUE: Consideration of a request to place a temporary taxicab stand on the 100 block of Cameron Street.

APPLICANT: City of Alexandria

LOCATION: 100 Cameron Street

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Board recommend the Director of T&ES install a temporary taxicab stand on the 100 block of Cameron Street to be in place for the duration of the King Street Metro upgrade project.

DISCUSSION: The City of Alexandria and the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) are working together to improve the King Street Metrorail Station. The King Street station is a vital transit hub for Alexandria residents and visitors. This will be a multi-phase project that will improve safety, access, and aesthetics at the station with an expected duration of two years.

The project will include: additional bus bays, enhanced lighting, upgraded pedestrian walkways, and designated areas for bicycles, taxis, and carshare vehicles, among other improvements.

Construction is slated to begin in early 2018 and be completed by 2020.

The King Street Metrorail Station will remain open and operational during the entire project, but there will be significant changes at the station during all phases of construction.

Phase 1 of the project will last approximately 12 months and include the following:

- Bus bays will be temporarily relocated to Diagonal Road, Daingerfield Road and King Street.
- The existing parking lot and the bus loading area will be closed and under construction, except for a pedestrian path to the relocated bus bays.
- The Carlyle Pedestrian Tunnel will remain open, but users will be required to cross Diagonal Road at Duke Street and again at the mid-block crossing.
Until the project is completed in 2020, there will be no Kiss & Ride area, no metered parking, and no shuttle bus access at the station. For these services, riders should use either the Braddock Road or Eisenhower Avenue Metrorail stations.

The temporary taxicab stand is proposed to be located in the northern section of the Cameron Street right turn lane. This location was chosen because the proximity to the northern station entrance will make the taxicab stand very accessible to station users. Staff evaluated the impacts and has determined that placing the taxicab stand at this location will not negatively impact traffic.

To learn more about project timeline, planned improvements, detours, and alternate routes and ways of getting around during construction, visit the project website:

Attachment 1 – Overhead View of Taxi Stand
Attachment 2 – Street View of Taxi Stand
DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2017

TO: MEMBERS OF THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BOARD

FROM: Colleen Evale

SUBJECT: DOCKET ITEM # 5

ISSUE: Consideration of a request to remove three parking spaces on the east side of Potomac Greens Drive, south of Catts Tavern Drive.

APPLICANT: Colleen Evale

LOCATION: South-east corner of Potomac Greens Drive and Catts Tavern Drive

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Board recommend the Director of T&ES remove three parking spaces on the east side of Potomac Greens Drive, south of Catts Tavern Drive.

DISCUSSION: Ms. Colleen Evale is requesting that three parking spaces be removed on the east side of Potomac Greens Drive to the south of Catts Tavern Drive to improve the sight distance. Potomac Greens Drive curves inward to the south of Catts Tavern Drive. This curve severely limits sight distance when entering Potomac Greens Drive because the roadway curves behind the driver’s vantage point. Cars parked along Potomac Greens Drive make the visibility of approaching traffic impossible. This intersection has a good safety record however, because of the curve staff believes that removing parking is justified.
Hi Bob, thanks for your message and for letting me know the correct procedure. Please let me know if you need anything additional.

This message is a request for the Traffic and Parking Board. When turning left (south) from Catts Tavern Dr. onto Potomac Greens Dr., there is limited visibility due to a slight curve in the road and cars parked on the east side of Potomac Greens Dr. too close to the intersection. It is often impossible to see oncoming traffic, especially when SUVs are parked back to back near the intersection. I would like to request that a “No Parking From Here to Corner” sign be installed 3 car lengths back from the current white stripe that marks how far parking is allowed near the intersection (currently there is no sign, but parkers generally follow the white stripe) and that the white stripe is moved back as well. There is ample parking on the opposite side of the street so loss of parking spaces should not be an issue. I believe that this change would make the intersection much safer by allowing drivers to see oncoming traffic before making the left turn onto Potomac Greens Dr. Please contact me if you have any questions. Thank you for considering my request. Colleen Evale (202-360-8335)
Attachment 2 – Overhead View of Proposed Parking Removal
Attachment 3 – Street View of Proposed Parking Removal
City of Alexandria, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

DATE: OCTOBER 23, 2017
TO: MEMBERS OF THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING BOARD
FROM: T&ES STAFF
SUBJECT: DOCKET ITEM #6

ISSUE: Consideration of a request to implement the residential pay by phone program on the following blocks:
   • 100 block of Duke Street
   • 200 block of S. Lee Street
   • 300 block of S. Lee Street

APPLICANT: Residents of the 100 block of Duke Street, 200 block of S. Lee Street, and 300 block of S. Lee Street

LOCATION: 100 block of Duke Street, 200 block of S. Lee Street, and 300 block of S. Lee Street

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Board recommends the Director of T&ES implement a residential pay by phone fee requirement for the 100 block of Duke Street, 200 block of S. Lee Street, and 300 block of S. Lee Street.

DISCUSSION: The Traffic and Parking Board deferred this item at their September 25, 2017 public hearing due to emails from the public (see Attachment 3) that expressed concern and confusion over the proposed regulations. Three of the four residents who wrote in opposition to the program reside on the 300 block of S. Lee St. The fourth email was from a resident of the 200 block of S. Pitt St. No written correspondence advocating against the proposal was received by residents of the 200 block of S. Lee St. or the 100 block of Duke St. The Board directed staff to reach out to these residents to clarify the purpose of the program and address any questions.

Staff has since reached out to the residents to explain the process and how the restrictions work. Although some residents still may not support the proposed restrictions, this item has been
returned to the Board for a full hearing given that we received three valid petitions signed by over 50% of the households on each block (Attachment 2). These petitions include signatures from 54 residents of the subject blocks. While one resident who emailed last month indicated they regret signing the petition, staff has not received any other correspondence to indicate the remaining residents are no longer in support.

In November 2016, the City Council approved a residential pay by phone pilot program (Section 5-8-84 of the City Code), which allows residents within the designated program area the option to petition for signage to be installed on their block that requires a parking fee for vehicles without a district sticker. The goal of this program is to discourage non-residents from parking on the blocks adjacent to metered areas because they are free. To respect the residential character of the street, a meter would not be installed on these blocks. Rather, signage referring to the City’s pay by phone app would replace the existing signage. Consistent with the existing residential permit parking program, residents who wish to add this signage must initiate the request through a petition signed by the residents of the block.

The residents of the 100 block of Duke Street, and 200 and 300 blocks of S. Lee Street have submitted a petition requesting residential pay by phone signage for their blocks. Staff has reviewed this request per the requirements outlined in the City Code and find it is eligible for the signage. The table below summarizes the blocks’ compliance with the requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Complies?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The area subject to parking fee must be on a block with existing metered spaces, adjacent to an existing metered block, or adjacent to a block where a residential pay by phone parking fee has also been approved. | The 100 block of Duke Street and the 200 block of S. Lee Street are adjacent to the following metered blocks and residential pay by phone block:  
  • 200 block of S. Union Street  
  • Unit block of Duke Street  
  • 100 block of Prince Street  
  The 300 block of S. Lee Street will be adjacent to the 200 block of S. Lee Street, if approved by the Board at this meeting. See Attachment 1 for more details. |
| The block must be located within the Special Parking District Area.         | These blocks are within the Special Parking District Area.                |
| The area subject to parking fee must already be posted with residential parking restrictions. | These blocks currently have the following residential parking restrictions:  
  8AM-2AM Mon-Sat; 11AM Sun-2AM Mon, except for District 1 vehicles         |
| The request to add a pay by phone parking fee must be initiated by the residents of the block through a petition signed by more than 50 percent of the residents of the block. | A petition for each block was submitted that was signed by more than 50% of the residents of the block (Attachment 2).  
  100 Duke Street – 15 of the 18 households, or 83%, |
### Requirement | Complies?
--- | ---

| 200 S. Lee Street – 13 of the 22 households, or 59% (3 additional emails of support from residents of the block not included in the petition), 300 S. Lee Street – 14 of the 22 households, or 58%, The parking occupancy must be 75% or more. | A parking survey was conducted on Tuesday, August 22, 2017 at 1:00 PM and found the parking occupancy to be: 100 Duke Street – 89% 200 S. Lee Street – 82% 300 S. Lee Street – 82% |

Similar to the 100 block of Prince Street, which was approved for residential pay by phone signage earlier this year, these blocks are located close to the waterfront and many of the restaurants, shops, and other attractions in Old Town. Over the years parking studies have documented a high parking demand on these blocks. The data collected in 2014 for the Old Town Area Parking Study (OTAPS) showed all of these blocks at greater than 90% occupancy during the three evening survey time periods. The surveys staff conducted in Spring and Summer of this year had similar results. During these surveys, staff also noted a high percentage of vehicles without a District 1 sticker. The table below summarizes these recent survey results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Survey Date</th>
<th>Survey Time</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Non-District 1 Vehicles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Duke</td>
<td>Tuesday, August 22</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, September 7</td>
<td>7:45 PM</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday, September 22</td>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday, October 4</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 S. Lee</td>
<td>Saturday, May 13</td>
<td>4:45 PM</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, June 15</td>
<td>12:15 PM</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday, August 22</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, September 7</td>
<td>7:45 PM</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday, September 22</td>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday, October 4</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 S. Lee</td>
<td>Tuesday, August 22</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, September 7</td>
<td>7:45 PM</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday, October 4</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since this is part of a pilot program, the evaluation component will be important to determine whether this is a useful parking management tool for the City. Staff has conducted a number of
surveys on these blocks and one block in each direction to collect baseline data before restrictions are in place. After the signage is installed, staff will resurvey these blocks to determine whether the parking conditions on this block and the surrounding blocks have changed. Several surveys will be conducted over the course of the pilot program to get several data points. Consistent with the goal of the program, staff would consider this an effective tool if the results showed a minimum of 1-2 parking spaces were now available to residents on the pay by phone block or the block on average was less than 85% occupied.

Staff has been monitoring the parking on the 100 block of Prince Street since signage was installed in July. Initial results indicate that the new restriction is improving parking availability for residents of the block and fewer non-residents are parking here. Staff will continue to monitor this block and others to review the impacts of the program.

This pilot program is approved until March 1, 2019. If the Council does not extend or approve this program as a permanent option, the signs would be replaced with the existing parking restrictions that are currently in place. This information was included on the petition that the residents signed to ensure they were aware that these restrictions may be removed at a later date.
Attachment 1 - Proposed blocks for residential pay by phone signage

- Proposed Residential Pay by Phone Blocks
- Existing Residential Pay by Phone Block
- Residential Restriction Block
- Metered Block
- Unrestricted Parking
Attachment 2 – Petitions

100 block of Duke Street

We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the **100** Block of **Duke** Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gretchen Moss</td>
<td>Gretchen Moss</td>
<td>114 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel Negron</td>
<td>Israel Negron</td>
<td>114 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridget Scanlan</td>
<td>Bridget Scanlan</td>
<td>112 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahid Demgan</td>
<td>Nahid Demgan</td>
<td>104 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Oehler</td>
<td>Bruce Oehler</td>
<td>108 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Knox</td>
<td>Marie Knox</td>
<td>125 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Knox</td>
<td>Dennis Knox</td>
<td>125 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Abraham, Ruth Jury</td>
<td>Beth Abraham, Ruth Jury</td>
<td>300 S, 4th St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Huber</td>
<td>Brian Huber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carly Schmidt</td>
<td>Carly Schmidt</td>
<td>133 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Levy</td>
<td>William A. Schmidt</td>
<td>133 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Rogerson</td>
<td>Lynn Rogerson</td>
<td>171 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patric Hager</td>
<td>Patric Hager</td>
<td>117 Duke St.</td>
<td>7/23/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Not listed owner, but resident

Staff Only: 18
Number of Households on the block
11
Number of Households that signed petition
18
Percentage of Households 100%
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 100 Block of Duke Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gomzy, Acebo, Mr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 Duke St</td>
<td>7/31/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only:

- Number of Households on the block
- Number of Households that signed petition
- Percentage of Households
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 100 Block of Duke Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brittany Hinkle</td>
<td>Battiest</td>
<td>106 Duke St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter A. Dixon</td>
<td>Art Work</td>
<td>111 Duke St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan W. Dixon</td>
<td>Allen Dixon</td>
<td>114 Duke St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Suprestine</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>100 b. Duke St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Suprestine</td>
<td>Barbara Lopez</td>
<td>100 b. Duke St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only:  
_________________________ Number of Households on the block
_________________________ Number of Households that signed petition
_________________________ Percentage of Households
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 100 Block of Doyle Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Name]</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>[Address]</td>
<td>[Date]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Stuff Only:

- Number of Households on the block
- Number of Households that signed petition
- Percentage of Households
200 block of S. Lee Street

Sabine and Robert Bisson
206 S Lee St
Alexandria, VA 22314
703-683-8469

Mr. Patrick Reed
Office of Traffic and Parking
Room 3600
Alexandria City Hall
August 14, 2017

Dear Mr. Reed,

Enclosed please find the 200 block of S Lee St residents' petition for the City of Alexandria to add residential pay-by-phone signage to the 200 block. The petition is signed by 13 homeowners. In addition, 3 homeowners have emailed their approval. Many homeowners are out of town on vacation at this time and couldn't be reached.

There are 22 residences on the 200 block. One of them, 214 S Lee St, has not been occupied for the past four years. The 13 signatures on the petition represent more than 50% participation.

We understand that the petition will have to be reviewed and approved by the Traffic and Parking Board and request that it be placed on the docket for review.

Thank you,

[Signature]
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 400 Block of S Lee Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shane Burson</td>
<td>R &amp; R Bisson</td>
<td>206 S Lee St</td>
<td>8/2/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharmott Cooper</td>
<td>SCOPPER</td>
<td>210 S. Lee</td>
<td>8/3/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Cooper</td>
<td>R Cooper</td>
<td>210 S Lee</td>
<td>8/3/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Piscott</td>
<td>D Piscott</td>
<td>212 S Lee</td>
<td>8/4/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tam Tiemeier</td>
<td>T Tiemeier</td>
<td>215 S Lee</td>
<td>8/4/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Heal</td>
<td>M Heal</td>
<td>217 S Lee</td>
<td>8/4/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Moore</td>
<td>J Moore</td>
<td>226 S Lee</td>
<td>8/6/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Walker</td>
<td>B Walker</td>
<td>225 S Lee</td>
<td>8/6/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Coughran</td>
<td>S Coughran</td>
<td>225 S Lee</td>
<td>8/6/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Households on the block</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households that signed petition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Households</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 200 Block of S. Lee Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amy Bayer</td>
<td></td>
<td>209 S. Lee St</td>
<td>8/3/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>211 S. Lee St</td>
<td>8/3/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheila Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>207 S. Lee St</td>
<td>8/3/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathaniel Spencer</td>
<td></td>
<td>203 S. Lee St</td>
<td>8/4/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilia Kotlerich</td>
<td></td>
<td>205 S. Lee St</td>
<td>8/4/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only:

Number of Households on the block
Number of Households that signed petition
Percentage of Households
300 Block of S. Lee Street

We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 300 Block of S. Lee Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Gibney</td>
<td>Elizabeth Gibney</td>
<td>300 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Gibney</td>
<td></td>
<td>300 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Horne</td>
<td>Susan Horne</td>
<td>311 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Helck</td>
<td>Mary Helck</td>
<td>318 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Horck</td>
<td>Ed Horck</td>
<td>318 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Sumner</td>
<td></td>
<td>311 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/28/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Buckner</td>
<td>Kelly Buckner</td>
<td>309 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Glen Storv</td>
<td>307 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Nole</td>
<td>Catherine Webster</td>
<td>304 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sondrae Bolder</td>
<td>Jonathan Bolder</td>
<td>328 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Hogan</td>
<td>Laura Hogan</td>
<td>325 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirk Logie</td>
<td>Kirk Logie</td>
<td>325 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lueese Stueck</td>
<td>Lueese Stueck</td>
<td>317 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Plater</td>
<td>Margaret Plater</td>
<td>330 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only: 

Number of Households on the block 

Number of Households that signed petition 

Percentage of Households
We the undersigned residents hereby request that the City add residential pay by phone signage on the 300 Block of S. Lee Street. We understand that if this signage is posted, any vehicles without the applicable district sticker or guest/visitor pass will be subject to a parking fee to park on the block. We understand that residents will still be required to pay an annual fee for resident parking stickers for each vehicle and that we will also need to obtain guest or visitor passes to allow guests to park on the street.

We also understand that this signage is permitted through a Pilot Program that will expire on March 1, 2019 and if the program is not extended or made a permanent program, that the signage will be removed and replaced with signage listing the original parking restrictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Name (Printed)</th>
<th>Resident Signature</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lori Wadsworth</td>
<td>Lori Wadsworth</td>
<td>314 S. Lee St.</td>
<td>9/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Green</td>
<td>David Green</td>
<td>315 S. Lee</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W. Wilson</td>
<td>J.W. Wilson</td>
<td>310 S. Lee</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Palmer</td>
<td>Mary Palmer</td>
<td>315 S. Lee</td>
<td>7/30/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note signatures from residents who are not the listed owner of the residence.

Staff Only:  
_________ Number of Households on the block  
_________ Number of Households that signed petition  
_________ Percentage of Households
Attachment 3 – Correspondence

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Parking on 300 block So Lee St

Mr. Johnson,

As I understand it the parking commission will be discussing parking on 300 block of South Lee tonight. I want to express my strong opposition to having paid parking on this block. This is a residential block not a commercial block.

I have lived in Alexandria since 1967, old town since 1975, and my current house since 1980. That's 50 years of living in Alexandria. I have come to appreciate that no matter how much begging, pleading, coercing or whatever one does the city will do what it wants without listening to the residents.

The 300 block of South Lee Street should be RESIDENT PERMIT PARKING ONLY. I end up calling traffic enforcement almost daily because many Alexandria City employees and other workers as well as lots of visitors constantly park in this block. Metered parking will NOT solve that problem. It will only cause massive confusion. I will still have to call traffic enforcement to give tickets. Please use common sense and help the residents of South Lee street. Our quality of life depends on your recommendation. I love my house and I love living here. I just don't want to be driven out of my home because the people who control making the decisions won't listen to the people who are affected by those decisions

Please make the recommendation to the city to make 300 block of So Lee St. Resident permit parking only. Then there is zero confusion on who can park and when/if visitors or workmen need passes, we will continue to use the same city visitor parking passes as in past.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyne Roehrenbeck
313 South Lee Street
CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Paid parking in residential area of 300 South block

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

_________________________

Dear Mr. Johnson,

I unfortunately was among those who signed the petition regarding parking on 300 S. Lee St without really understanding the full implications. I believe that it will make discretion about who parks and who does not for free extremely confusing. I do not see that it adds anything to permit parking beyond confusion. Personally, I think the invitation to pay is an invitation to take a resident's space for money, will increase congestion, and designate our dear residential area as a commercial one. We are lucky enough to have off-street parking and so I did not think the issue through, but now I regret having signed.

Thank you,

Mary Palmer MD

--

Mary E. Palmer MD, FAAEM, FACEP
Founding Member and Director
ToxEM LLC
315 S Lee St., Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22314
Subject: [Non-toD Source] Alexandria Traffic & Parking Board

Dear Mary:

I am writing with regard to docket item #8 of tonight’s T&PB meeting, the implementation of pay-by-phone parking in the 100 block of Duke and the 100 and 100 blocks of South Lee Street. I live at Pult and Prince so I will not be directly affected by the board’s decision affecting those blocks. However, as the immediate past vice president of the Old Town Civic Association, I have been contacted by a number of residents in those blocks, as well as blocks nearby, who are strongly opposed to requiring pay-by-phone in the three blocks. Additionally, through my involvement over the years in various Old Town parking studies, I am quite familiar with the pay-by-phone initiative, and its shortcomings.

I offer these comments with regard to this docket item:

1 -- At least some of the folks who signed the petition for pay-by-phone did not understand the thrust of the petition, especially the pay-by-phone aspect of it. While ignorance is no excuse, the fact is that very few residents understand all the nuances of pay-by-phone in residential areas, in part because it has just been implemented in the 100 block of Prince. There needs to be more debate and education about pay-by-phone before it is more widely implemented -- there should be no rush to extend it now to other blocks.

2 -- There are no area parking meters, where one can purchase a parking slip to place on their dashboard, near any of the three blocks. That contrasts with the 100 block of Prince, where there is a parking-slip dispenser on South Union. Hence, as I understand it, folks will have to pay either with a Pango app on their smartphone or bring a parking slip with them that they purchased elsewhere or have prearranged for the homeowner they are visiting for a parking permit they can place in their windshield. The latter two options will be a real hassle to utilize while many folks, especially the elderly, do not have the Pango app on their smartphone, often because they do not have a smartphone or any need for one. Placing a parking slip dispenser in one of those blocks is highly objectionable aesthetically because it would clash with the historic character of those blocks.

3 -- I know from personal experience that parking in those blocks, and especially on South Lee, is extremely difficult, but a key reason for a lack of parking availability is a lack of parking enforcement, both during the day when workers park there all day long without getting a ticket or in the evening.
when visitors to nearby restaurants park for more than two hours in those blocks without getting a
ticket. The City should actually try to put more enforcement effort into those blocks before imposing
pay-by-phone on those blocks.

4 -- A complimentary technique for increasing parking availability for residents is to reduce the
maximum parking-time limit for non-District One permit holders to one hour, and strictly enforcing that
time limit.

Clearly, the pay-by-phone proposal for these three blocks is extremely controversial, so controversial
that the T&P should not approve it this evening. Instead, city staff should be directed to examine more
desirable and effective alternatives, such as a 1-hour limit for non-District One permit holders and more
frequent trips along those blocks by parking enforcement officers.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of my views on this issue. I would be most appreciative if
you would share them with your fellow board members.

Bert
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Fwd: Parking on 300 block of South Lee Street

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded

Subject: Fwd: Parking on 300 block of South Lee Street

I hope I am sending this e-mail to the proper person for consideration and presentation to the City.

I am out of town and unable to attend the meeting scheduled for Monday.

I do not know who initiated the idea of paid parking on my block of South Lee Street but I am opposed to it.

I understand from another member of OD8C that it would be appropriate for me to contact you.

I am strongly opposed to the idea of parking meters (paid parking) on South Lee Street. It will invite parking by people who are in town for commercial purposes.

There is parking along King Street and Union Street as well as in Parking lots for this purpose. South Lee Street is a residential area not a commercial area.

Commercial parking on these blocks should be discouraged not encouraged.

Alexandria should recognize that there are areas that are residential and not appendages of commercial areas.

In the thriving downtown Silver Spring there are public parking lots that charge a nominal fee and are free on weekends used by many visitors.

There is no reason not to have “resident only” parking and the guest permit program that is now in existence.

Thank you for your time and efforts.

Beal Lowen

321 South Lee Street