Textile production was central to the economic life and daily well being of many large plantations in the Chesapeake region during the early antebellum period. Numerous steps were required to produce cloth and clothing for families on plantations where the enslaved numbered fifty or more. These steps included cultivation and harvesting of raw materials, fiber preparation, spinning, dyeing, knitting or weaving, fulling or bleaching, cutting and sewing plain and fine clothing, mending, and textile maintenance.

Between 1814 and 1845 Martha Forman, mistress of Rose Hill in Cecil County, on Maryland’s upper Eastern Shore, kept daily records of the plantation activities that came within her sphere of management. She began a diary on the day of her marriage to General Thomas Marsh Forman, a widower, who was more than twenty years her senior. Although the Formans’ marriage was childless, Rose Hill plantation was a center of activity with nearly fifty resident bondsmen and a continuous stream of visitors and itinerant workmen who frequently stayed for periods of weeks or months.

While the General supervised the hired hands and artisans and contributed to the entertainment of their guests, it was Martha Forman who had the ultimate responsibility for their provisioning and maintenance—much of which involved textile work. Her series of diaries provides important insights into the complexity of the cloth making process, the centrality of cloth and clothing to the plantation economy, and the almost continuous employment of bound and free labor in plantation textile production and maintenance.

**Raw Materials and Fiber Preparation**

General Thomas Marsh Forman raised sheep and grew flax at his Rose Hill plantation. He also grew a small amount of cotton and experimented briefly, but unsuccessfully, with sericulture or the production of raw silk by raising silk worms. Rose Hill sheep provided more than enough wool for slave clothing and other purposes. General Forman raised Merino sheep and common sheep, and he ranked his one hundred or so sheep by the grade of wool they produced. His 1818 wool inventory indicated that the first quality wool, probably Merino, was intended for flannel, second quality for cassimer, third quality for Negro cloth, and fourth quality for Negro stockings.

General Forman’s sheep were sheared in late May. During June, July, and August enslaved women washed the dirty wool, picked it clean of dirt, straws and burrs, sorted, and greased it. The wool was then sent out to be machine carded and rolled at Garrett’s Mill nearby on the Bohemia River. Hand picking wool was a time consuming job in the hot months of summer. Martha Forman wrote in 1817, “This day sent 179 pounds of...
picked wool to be carded, which with 130 sent before, is 327 this season. We shall this day finish picking our wool and I am heartily glad, it is a most tedious job.”

Flax was grown successfully at Rose Hill for most of the period of the Forman diaries. The cultivation of flax required little land, but considerable labor, more than any other natural fiber. Field hands, all of them enslaved, sowed flaxseed in late March and April and pulled the ripe flax in July. They rippled or stripped the seed from the flax and spread the plant out in a field for several weeks to water rot the leaves and soften the fibers. Once the desired degree of decay had been reached, men dried the flax over open fires, pounded the stalks with a flax brake, a toothed instrument, and beat or scutched them with a knife to separate the outer woody fibers from the inner ones. Following this step, women repeatedly pulled the fibers through hackles, or combs with sharp wire teeth, to further separate broken strands, coarse tow, and waste from longer or line fibers.

The cultivation of cotton was not well suited to the northern Chesapeake region of Cecil County where early frosts shortened the growing season. However, between 1814 and 1830, General Forman planted small amounts of cotton to be used for slave clothing. He augmented his production by purchasing cotton balls, cotton thread, and domestic cotton yard goods from Baltimore, Philadelphia, and local merchants and mills. From the 1830s on, cotton cloth supplemented his linen and tow cloth, and cotton fibers replaced flax in the production of linsey. At Rose Hill cottonseed was planted in May; cotton was harvested in late fall and cleaned in February and March. “Servants” or “house girls,” as Martha Forman referred to the enslaved women and girls who worked in the mansion house, picked husks and seeds from the small crop of cotton bolls, which in 1827 yielded only eleven pounds of usable cotton. All seed removal was done by hand rather than in a gin. The clean cotton was then carded, spun, and frequently dyed blue for striped dress goods.

**Spinning**

Rose Hill women spun wool, flax, and cotton fibers into yarn, thread, and candlewick for a variety of end uses. Several free white women, but never the mistress, spun along side enslaved women or in their own houses. Martha Forman also employed young girls, probably daughters of middling farmers, to spin and sew. They came to Rose Hill to live for short periods of time or until the season’s spinning was completed.

The women spun rolls of carded wool into singles and plied yarn during the fall months starting in September. The wool yarn for linsey and woolen cloth was ready in time to weave for winter clothing for the Rose Hill community. The women started spinning tow or coarse flax in December and January and generally finished by the end of February to be ready for the weaving of summer clothing. Fine tow, linen, and cotton spinning took place at odd times, and as needed.

For the most part, spinning at Rose Hill was handwork that required good coordination, concentration, and endurance. The spinners used wool and flax wheels; the great wool or walking wheel for wool and cotton fibers; the small treadle wheel for wool, flax, and cotton. General Forman experimented briefly with a spinning machine or “jenny.” On July 9, 1816, Martha wrote, “Mr. Garret brought home our carded wool, and at the same time a machine with eight spindles for spinning wool, cost 20$.” Rachel Antigua, a house servant and one of Martha’s best textile workers, began to spin on the machine at that time. She evidently continued to use the spinning jenny during the next year, for Martha noted in September 1817, “Rachel spins 8 pounds of wool a day on the machine.”

Hand spinning at Rose Hill reached its peak around 1820. Between 1814 and 1825, Martha Forman recorded the activities of seven enslaved spinners and seven free, white spinners. In June 1818, she wrote, “I made one thousand four and a half yards of homespun of different kinds this year.” As was customary in personal documents of the period, the plantation mistress took credit for the work of her slaves and others whose labor she controlled. Rosalie Stier Calvert, mistress of Riversdale plantation in Prince George’s County, Maryland, wrote to a family member in 1805, “Another of my diversions is to make cloth for the negroes,” and in an 1807 letter she wrote, “all my servants are dressed in a very pretty cloth of my own manufacture.” In her earlier correspondence, she added as an afterthought, “much of the manipulation is done by some little girls.”

**Dyeing**

Martha Forman closely supervised the dyeing of wool fibers for Rose Hill cloth. She probably did much of the dyeing herself for she rarely noted the involvement
of others in the dyeing process. Each fall Martha used large stoneware crocks, purchased with her butter money, to dye skeins of woolen yarn deep indigo blue. The yarn was intended as the filler, or weft, in linsey used for the women’s dresses and needed to be uniformly blue in color to produce a solid blue cloth. Men at Rose Hill dressed in brown linsey or brown cloth, depending on their status and occupation; however, Martha Forman did not mention dyeing fibers brown.

Wool absorbs dye more readily than other fibers, and dyeing wool in skeins, while tricky to produce evenly colored yarn, could be accomplished by a skilled amateur dyer. Other dyeing projects were more difficult and required professional expertise. Martha Forman sent her flax thread to be dyed blue for linsey warp. Dyeing clothing also prolonged its use. Martha’s old Canton crape dress, after being dyed black for $2.50 by a woman in nearby Cecilton, served admirably for frequently occurring funerals in the Formans’ Sassafras Neck community.

Knitting
To annually produce enough pairs of knit woolen stockings for fifty or more enslaved people and itinerant workmen plus stockings and the occasional pairs of garters or gloves for the Forman family required the time and talent of several knitters. House slaves who could work in knitting with their other tasks or who were too young or too old to carry their share of housework, did the bulk of the stocking knitting. Customarily, daughters of house women, who were brought up in the big house, learned to knit at an early age.

When Martha Forman arrived at Rose Hill in 1814, an elderly house woman, Louisa, did all the knitting. That December she finished the last of 31 pairs of stockings for the “black family.” The next month Martha started two young house girls on their first knitting. Five-year-old Harriet Batten, Martha’s personal slave, “finished knitting her master’s garters and began stockings for herself.” By age eight, Harriet was proficient enough to knit more than half the pairs of stockings given out to the Rose Hill slaves in 1817.

The period from September to December was the busiest time of year for the knitters. Occasionally, all the house women joined in knitting in order to finish the requisite number of stockings for the winter clothing distribution. Knitting stockings was a time consuming task. At age twelve, Harriet could “knit a man's stocking in a day.” By contemporary accounts, she was a diligent worker. Landon Carter recorded in his diary in 1776 his wife’s calculation of the time it took her to knit their son’s stockings. “Mrs. Carter told me her day’s work was 150 rounds of 185 stitches on a stocking And it took her 6 days to make one stocking at that rate So that the number of Stitches in one Stockin must be . . . 166,500.” Harriet’s small fingers must have moved at an incredible rate to complete the task of one stocking in one day—one sixth of the time it took Mrs. Carter.

Weaving
White weavers, living both on and off the plantation, wove cloth and textile furnishings for Rose Hill’s black and white families. Their products ranged from coarse to fine and included tow linen and linsey for slave clothing, and striped linen and woolen cloth for servant clothing, as well as sheeting, blanket, carpeting, and coverlets. Several weavers wove fine linen for the General’s shirts and nightclothes and for Martha Forman’s chemises and aprons, but the majority of woven cloth went to make clothing for the field hands and house servants. In September 1815, Henry Patterson received $61.30 for weaving 582 yards of cloth between November 1814, and August 1815. Weaver David Falls produced 175 yards of fourteen-ounce tow linen for summer clothing between the end of February and the
beginning of May 1816. William Garrett’s textile manufac-
tory took care of the fine woolen and worsted weav-
ing. He wove flannel and mixed cassimer from Merino
and second wool. He also wove twilled linsey and
twilled woolen cloth for the servants’ clothing.

In the early years of Martha’s domestic manage-
ment of Rose Hill, a great deal of weaving was done on
the premises by itinerant weavers, but never by slaves. The
lower house, located a short distance from the man-
sion house, contained a spinning and weaving room in
addition to a sleeping area. A loom—probably two
or four harnesses—was kept in the lower house; a spin-
ning machine and hand wheels made up the rest of the
textile equipment. Black and white spinners worked
along side the weaver and kept him supplied with fi-
bers for linsey, tow, and other coarse textiles.

Martha Forman protested when weavers did not pro-
duce the cloth that she had requested. In October 1821,
she rejected cloth from Garrett’s mill as not strong
enough for the people’s clothing. Garrett returned six
weeks later with mixed cloth, but it was too narrow by
two inches. In January 1824, David Falls was two
months late in finishing the linsey, thus causing Martha
and her seamstresses to work frantically to make cloth-
ing for the winter distribution. Martha faced another
sort of problem when fabric was poorly woven. Fiber
was too valuable to be discarded. The only solution
was to unravel the web of yard goods. In July 1822,
David Falls stopped by Rose Hill to get Martha and
her house girls started unraveling and rewinding on
spools the thread from a length of fabric ruined by a
weaver named Vace. On August 5, she wrote, “Mon-
day we finished winding the fine piece that Mr. Vace
had spoiled for us, a very tedious job, this is the sec-
ond piece I have had wound, and I think if I keep my
senses it will be the last, it kept five women a week to
wind it.”

**Bleaching and Fulling**

The appearance of dyeing and bleaching establish-
ments and fulling mills in a community meant that house-
holds could be relieved of some of the most tedious
steps of textile processing. Once linen had been wo-
ven, it required washing in lye and bleaching to whiten
it. Finished linen products were bleached periodically
to brighten or renew them. Tow cloth, generally used
for slave bedding and clothing, was usually left in its
natural or unbleached “brown” state. No bleaching was
carried on at Rose Hill; the Formans sent their sheet-
ing, table linen, shirting, and other fine and coarse linen
to a Delaware bleach yard.

Martha Forman marked and sent her fine woolen cloth
also to Delaware to be fulled, a process for removing
grease and other impurities involving shrinking, pound-
ing, and brushing. Fulling determined the thickness,
warmth, and finish of the cloth. Too little fulling pro-
duced a thin cloth, whereas too much fulling produced
an overly heavy cloth and reduced the yardage. Martha
complained to her diary in 1816, “Our brown cloth is
too much fulled, being reduced from 36 to 24 yards.”

**Cutting and Sewing**

Martha Forman, often with the assistance of a sewing
girl or another white woman, cut the cloth for slave
clothing. Her diaries are replete with references to
hours spent cutting out shirts, gowns, chemises, and
slips for her house servants to sew into finished gar-
ments. On May 20, 1815, the overseer's wife, Mrs.
Thomas, assisted in cutting out 14 pair of tow trousers
"for the people." In January 1819, when Martha was
overwhelmed with processing pork and lard from the
annual pig kill, caring for sick slaves, and entertaining
house guests, she enlisted a neighbor to help cut out
the people's clothes, so as not to delay the winter cloth-
ing distribution.

Cloth was a valuable commodity and not always readily
available. To avoid waste and prevent theft, Martha
Forman entrusted the cutting to very few. During her
first years as mistress of Rose Hill, professional tailors
cut and sewed the clothing for men who worked in the
mansion house or who held other positions of status.
In January 1816, she wrote, “Pearce cut out the peoples
Cloths. We had 70 yards, not enough by four suits.”
Generally, the tailor did the measuring, cutting, and
fitting on the premises, and then took the cloth home
with him to sew together and add buttons and trim.
Unlike clothing made for the field hands, clothing made
for the male house servants and coachmen was per-
sonally sized to fit. As Martha gained experience, she
cut the loose fitting trousers for the hands, leaving the
tailor to cut the coats, and her women to stitch them
together.

Once the cloth had been cut, the sewing was turned
over to skilled enslaved women and itinerant sewing
girls who came in periodically to help out. Martha
rarely stitched together the clothing for the hands, but she frequently made and altered garments for her house servants and for the General and herself.

The house girls did a variety of sewing jobs for members of the black and white Rose Hill families and for the hired workmen who stayed for periods of time. Old Louisa sewed together the shirts Martha had cut out for the General and hemmed his new cravats, and “sewing girl” Nancy stitched together white cloth and linsey trousers for the housemen and carriage drivers. Young Harriet was taught to sew by Martha. She started with making tow bibs for herself. Soon she progressed to making her own tow chemises and petticoats. By age fourteen, Harriet was proficient enough at fine sewing to be able to put tucking in her own frocks and to make petticoats for her mistress and shirts for her master. Martha trusted Harriet with expensive fabric as she noted in her diary on April 1, 1825, “Harriet finished a shirt for her master of the very fine piece of linen.” In the later years, one skilled house servant, Martha Burk, did most of the sewing for the Formans and the other servants. She made shirts, chemises, frocks, petticoats, chair cases, bolster and pillowcases, sheets, and bed coverings. Occasionally, Martha Forman would distribute the cut cloth among her women for them to stitch together their own dresses. She wrote in 1836—“I cut out the women’s frocks and gave them all out to sew.” She also gave cloth to mothers of young children so that each would be responsible for making her own child’s clothing.

The clothing made for Rose Hill slaves, especially field hands, probably was not unlike that made on other plantations. Historians Mary Edna Lohrenz and Anita Miller Stamper studied southern women’s diaries and concluded that there were greater similarities than differences in slave clothing from different locations. They found that clothes were generally simply and hastily constructed with little stylistic variation.

The Forman diaries provide a few pieces of information about how Martha and her seamstresses constructed clothing for the slaves. The details seem to belie the simplicity of construction remarked on by Lohrenz and Stamper. For the male field hands, Martha Forman noted that their winter coats and trousers were well lined with tow or cotton. She described the construction of their shirts—“Shirts are a yard long and rather more than three quarters in width, broad stitched

shoulderstraps on the outside of the shirt . . . shoulders lined on the inside.” For the female hands and house servants, their homemade linsey frocks were lined in the body and sleeves with domestic cotton or striped cotton. The servants wore aprons of white muslin or brown domestic, made with one or two tucks and a broad hem.

Mending and Textile Maintenance

Once the clothing had been fabricated, the involvement of Rose Hill women in textile work did not end. Much of women’s labor went into mending, patching, remaking, and marking, but these activities were minor compared with the reoccurring duties of washing and ironing—hard on workers, harder still on textiles.

As mistress of a large plantation, Martha Forman was involved with supervising textile maintenance on al-
most a daily basis. She recorded her chores and those of her enslaved house servants and the free white women who worked for periods of time at Rose Hill. They mended the General’s shirts, the servants’ clothing, tablecloths, and carpeting. They darned dozens of napkins, and turned and mended endless numbers of sheets. To the linens and servants’ clothing, they added patches where needed. Some of the better quality male servants’ clothing, the women reworked, replacing frayed or soiled areas with the additions of new collars, wristbands, and backs. Martha wrote—“put new collars and ristbands to coachman’s three domestick cotton shirts,” and “put new backs in his two linsey jackets.”41 Martha also wrote that she mended the General’s waistcoats and shirts for use by the servants and she turned the coachmen’s great coats.42 For the house girls, Martha frequently turned their frocks, or altered them to fit smaller girls. She also turned the General’s coats and her own frocks of silk and other fine materials, noting that after she “turned my silk coat, it looks as new as it did when new.”43

Monday was usually washday at Rose Hill, and followed by ironing on Tuesday. The house women made a soft, caustic soap from boiling lye and hog fat or occasionally soap was purchased. The items to be washed were then placed in tubs of boiling water with the soap. Beating with sticks or rubbing on rocks removed more stubborn stains.44 A mixture of white clay and water spread as a thick paste on both sides of the fabric also helped with greasy stains. After several rinses, the linens and clothing were reasonably clean and sanitary. During the warmer months, washing was done outside near a natural water source. Washtubs were hauled to the site where water was heated over an open fire, and then the clothes were rinsed in cold water, wrung out, and spread out on the grass or brought back to the yard to dry on a line.45

The Rose Hill women depended on good weather for drying clothing, linens, and bed coverings. With a breeze and low humidity, and with the exception of blankets and quilts, most items would dry in a day. During the summer months, prolonged periods of rain interrupted the drying process. In early June of 1836, Martha Forman wrote, “rainy and disagreeable. I was obliged to have all our last weeks cloths dried by the fire.” In the winter, bitter cold winds blowing off the Chesapeake Bay and up the Sassafras River sometimes made outdoor drying impossible. One January, she wrote, “It still continues very cold, we could not dry our cloths, they froze the moment they were out of our hands and blew off the line.”46

Before ironing, certain articles of Martha’s fancy clothing items were starched. Starching, she initially entrusted to only one woman, Lydia Bayard. When Lydia died in 1820, Martha lamented “my favorite woman, Lydia, . . . was a servant in whom I had implicit confidence . . . she was my best washer and ironer, my only clear starcher and pleater.”47 Martha replaced Lydia with another able servant, Rachel Antigua, who “washed and starched all my ruffs,” and on a later date, she wrote, “Rachel was busy clearstarching and washing all my muslings [muslins].”48 Using pairs of heavy flat irons, heated on the kitchen stove, the women ironed the sheets, pillowcases, tablecloths, napkins, towels, bags, the General’s shirts, Martha’s frocks, and other clothing. At Rose Hill, textile work never ended.

Conclusion

The Rose Hill diaries provide important insights into the ways the Formans employed enslaved and free labor to produce and maintain the textile goods that were used by black and white members of their plantation community. Martha Forman’s daily notations, narrowly mined for information on cloth production, reveal the centrality of cloth and clothing to the plantation economy, the complexity of the cloth making process, and the use of enslaved artisans in household textile production.
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Forman Diaries, June 6, 1836 and January 17, 1831.

Ibid., February 16, 1820.

Ibid., May 3, 1820 and June 23, 1825. Clothing to be starched was immersed in liquid starch after the final rinse, dried, and then wetted again before ironing. Lohrenz and Stamper, 75.
Greetings from Historic Alexandria! We are pleased to present the updated, second edition of *A Guide to Historic Alexandria* - an illustrated book written by nationally recognized historian and local resident William Seale.

In war and peace and the day-to-day art of living, Alexandria was a microcosm of the nation. Here is a guide to the history and historic buildings of its three centuries, beginning in colonial times through to the present. This 144-page illustrated classic features dozens of stunning color photographs by award-winning photographer Erik Kvalsvik. Ideal for anyone who appreciates the appeal of one of America’s most attractive and liveable historic cites, *A Guide to Historic Alexandria* is perfect for both local residents and visitors.

To order your copies of *A Guide to Historic Alexandria*, simply use the order form at right or call The Lyceum, Alexandria’s History Museum at (703) 838-4994.
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